

The Nature of Truth, and the Beginnings of a Worldview

Anon

Is there such a thing as absolute reality? That is, is reality objective or is it a completely solipsistic thing? Consider it like so:

Modern ‘aphorisms’ suggest that things historically considered sourced from an absolute are in fact relative. Beauty is in the eye of the beholder. My body, my decision. Agree to disagree. Centuries of relativism embedded into the entire philosophical core of the globe to the point that almost every soul in living memory defaults to a left-wing, egalitarian, easily manipulated mental dogma, or some variation on that theme. Libertarianism, or liberalism, the foundation of the west; Communism; Marxism; Cultural Marxism; The concept of human rights; The arbitrary meaning of art. If truth, meaning, value... If the fundamental pursuits of life are ascribed arbitrary, relative, variable definitions, then they must have no true definition at all and so are meaningless.

For example: “Taste in music (or whatever subject or field you choose) is subjective”.

So, regardless of your opinion of music, that same opinion is moot and impossible to prove, no matter how justified you feel because you hold that such a thing is subjective. Your very thoughts mean nothing as they are on a foundation of mud. The ideology is a contrarian product of passive thought in the modern world, the result of years of mental lazing and propaganda. We will think for you.

You can see that such thought, if it is even worthy of the term, is ridiculous. Arbitrary truth. Circular logic. The daydreams of a grazer’s mind. The beginnings of meaningless drivel instilling endless scores of tripe into the cattle, fattening the sheep for the slaughter.

So, truth must have a solid – an absolute – foundation, if it is to hold any weight or meaning. Things must be proven. Whim becomes Adonai to the sheep, and truth to the man. Life’s pursuit is this ultimate, unshakeable truth, and also its elusive, globally reviled source.