

E-mail

Sent: Friday, 26 June, 2015

Subject: IBM fingered in Leader v. Facebook; Justice Roberts confused on ethics

IBM, supported by Justice Roberts, stole from Leader Technologies; gave to Facebook, SAP, Ericsson, Tsinghua, JPMorgan...

IBM's, Facebook's and Justice Roberts' transgressions



(June 26, 2015) -- The same day SCOTUS issued its Obamacare ruling, new investigations reported that IBM stole Leader Technologies' copyrighted social networking invention in 2001. IBM then almost immediately released Leader's innovations to members of its newly-formed "The Eclipse Foundation" on August 29, 2002. Eclipse fed many companies Leader's innovations *at the same time*, including Zuckerberg, Facebook, SAP, Ericsson, JPMorgan and the "PayPal Mafia."

IBM also claimed copyright ownership of Leader's inventions, even though Leader had

already filed federal copyrights on those ideas--using an attorney also engaged by IBM!

Zuckerberg's 28 hard drives will uncover IBM and The Eclipse Foundation

It is now evident that Facebook's concealment of Zuckerberg's 28 hard drives and Harvard emails will show that he used Eclipse code. Facebook launched on February 4, 2004. The launch occurred *during* the inaugural IBM EclipseCON 2004 -- just months after Leader Technologies finished debugging key modules on October 28, 2003.

Oct. 28, 2003 was the *same night* that Zuckerberg illegally hacked the Harvard dormitory sites for student photos. No wonder he didn't care about the legalities, former Treasury Secretary and then Harvard President, Larry Summers, was in on the IBM / Facebook scheme to defraud. Summers later fed over \$33 billion to Facebook's underwriters Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley alone in the 2008 bank bailout as President Obama's bailout director.

Who fed Leader's invention to IBM / Eclipse?

Several months ago, a whistleblower revealed that on August 30, 2002, Leader Technologies intellectual property counsel, Professor James P. Chandler, met with Montgomery County, Maryland development officials to pitch a new IBM business model (Eclipse), calling it a "coup." He also pitched Patent Office archiving needs. He told the officials to keep the meeting secret.

The day before, IBM / Eclipse, introduced version 2.0.1. Without getting into the technical weeds, a side-by-side comparison of Eclipse version 2.0.1 released on August 29, 2002 and Leader's source code shows that IBM copied Leader's invention just as clearly as one musician steals a melody line from another musician.

Eclipse has [published all versions](#) of its code since Version 1.0 on Nov. 07, 2001.

Leader's secret sauce appeared overnight in Eclipse version 2.0.1

NONE of Leader's secret sauce inventions were present in Eclipse version 2.0, released just two months earlier on June 27, 2002. But, on August 29, 2002, an explosion of Leader's innovations were present.

The size of the Eclipse source code files ballooned from 1.1 MB (version 2.0, Jun. 27, 2002) to 90 MB (version 2.0.1, Aug. 29, 2002). IBM / Eclipse coders didn't even bother trying to mask the similarities. A brief crosswalk follows:

Leader invention modules:	IBM / Eclipse modules version 2.0.1
<i>Invention date: late 1990's</i>	<i>Introduced: August 29, 2002</i>

SessionState.java	State.java
CollectionsFactory.java	CollectionHandle.java
Framework.java	Frame.java
Traversal.java	TraverseEvent.java
Abstract*.java (56 classes)(hooks for apps)	Abstract*.java (69 classes)
Board/Context*.java	Context*.java
Other...	Other...

What changed? On June 5, 2002, Professor Chandler took custody of a complete CD-ROM copy of Leader source code as a part of our Smart Camera research project with his client, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL).

AFI investigators do a good job of describing the findings, so [click here to read the analysis](#).

Chief Justice Roberts

In *Leader v. Facebook*, Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. failed to disclose his mentoring relationship with Facebook's lawyers, Thomas G. Hungar, Gibson Dunn LLP, and his substantial financial holdings when [Leader Technologies' Petition](#) for hearing came before the U.S. Supreme Court in 2012.

However, just a year before, Justice Roberts did disqualify himself in [Microsoft v. i4i](#), presumably due to his Microsoft financial holdings, and relationship with the very same Gibson Dunn LLP Facebook attorneys who were representing Microsoft at that time.

America's judges appear ethically lost, or complicit

The [Code of Conduct for US Judges](#) dictates that judges avoid even the appearance of impropriety. Our judges appear to be either lost, or complicit. These are capable people. There can be no middle ground.

In yet another flip flip, when Justice Roberts issued the majority opinion in the Obamacare ruling yesterday, he failed to disclose his [many financial holdings](#) in Obamacare vendors. Despite one's views on the ruling itself, *Justice Roberts had a duty to recuse himself due to his financial interests in the outcome.*

Justice Roberts' financial holdings include CGI Group, Castlight Health, Athenahealth, Accenture, Microsoft, IBM, Verizon and AT&T. Castlight Health and Athenahealth are "cloud" providers to doctor's offices. Castlight was allowed to issue a public offering in 2013 while its founder and largest shareholder, Todd Y. Park, was White House Chief Technology Officer.

The Securities & Exchange Commission was *silent* about Park's obvious conflict of interest. Park was the original architect of HealthCare.gov while at Health & Human Services. Castlight's underwriters, [Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley](#), also underwrote Facebook.

Leader's Fifth Amendment Rights under the Takings Clause

Knowledge is power. One cannot fix a problem until the problem is defined correctly. We now know the who's, what's, when's and where's.

The U.S. government is not permitted to confiscate property without compensating the property holder according to the Fifth Amendment, Takings Clause.

The government is abusing Leader Technologies' copyrights and patents across the board. Since the judicial and executive branches are complicit in these takings, Leader must rely on Congress to restore Leader's property.

We encourage all Leader shareholders to start and keep making noise with [your elected representatives](#) until justice is served. Leader invented a technology that is central to our modern communications, yet has *not received a dime of reward* for its entrepreneurial risk, investment and hard work of inventing.

If IBM, Facebook and the U.S. government are permitted to succeed in the theft of Leader's property, will your other property be next?

We must draw a line in the sand and take a stand. This is no longer just Leader Technologies' matter, it is every American's interest in the future of our Republic and its Rule of Law (not the Rule of Oligarchs).

John Adams (1778) on property:

"The moment the idea is admitted into society, that property is not as sacred as the laws of God, and that there is not a force of law and public justice to protect it, anarchy and tyranny commence. If 'Thou shalt not covet,' and 'Thou shalt not steal,' were not commandments of Heaven, they must be made inviolable precepts in every society, before it can be civilized or made free."

As always, feel free to call the office with questions or comments.

Yours sincerely,

<http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2015/06/ibm-lied-about-nsa-spy-platform.html>

Notice: This message may contain opinion. As with all opinion, it should not be relied upon without independent verification. Think for yourself.

[Forward this email](#)