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FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Evidence Entry

Event Title: Evidence Collection
Date: 01/15/2016

Approved By:

Drafted By:

Case ID #: [S/H/M/P]
MISHANDLING OF CLASSIFIED;
UNKNOWN SUBJECT OR COUNTRY;
SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER (SIM)

Reason: 1.4(b)
Derived From: FBI
NSISC-20090675
Declassify On: 20261231

Full Investigation Initiated: 07/10/2015

Collected By: Missing on Missing

Collected From: FBI Case File

Receipt Given?: No

Holding Office: WASHINGTON FIELD

Item Type  Description
1B Digital  1CD labeled Unclassified and titled "Clinton email"

Request memo Oct 6, 2015 Clinton email"

Collected On: 01/15/2016

Seizing Individual:

Collected By:

Location Area: Investigative File
Specific Location: 1A
Device Type: Compact Disc/Digital Video
Disc(CDs/DVDs)
Number of Devices Collected: 1
Title: \(\blacksquare\) Evidence Collection
Re: \(\blacksquare\) 01/15/2016

1B Digital \(\blacksquare\) 1 CD labeled Unclassified and titled "Files to Disk"
Collected On: 01/15/2016
Seizing Individual: 
Collected By: 
Location Area: Investigative File
Specific Location: 1A
Device Type: Compact Disc/Digital Video Disc(CDs/DVDs)
Number of Devices Collected: 1

1B Digital \(\blacksquare\) 1 CD labeled Unclassified and titled "Clinton Email PST"
Collected On: 01/15/2016
Seizing Individual: 
Collected By: 
Location Area: Investigative File
Specific Location: 1A
Device Type: Compact Disc/Digital Video Disc(CDs/DVDs)
Number of Devices Collected: 1

1B Digital \(\blacksquare\) 1 CD labeled Unclassified and titled "U/S P. Kennedy PST"
Collected On: 01/15/2016
Seizing Individual: 
Collected By: 
Location Area: Investigative File
Specific Location: 1A
Device Type: Compact Disc/Digital Video Disc(CDs/DVDs)
Number of Devices Collected: 1
Title: Evidence Collection

Re: 01/15/2016

1B Digital

Verbatim CDR
Collected On: 01/13/2016
Seizing Individual:
Collected By:
Location Area: Delivered to FBI
Specific Location: Delivered to FBI
Device Type: Compact Disc/Digital Video Disc (CDs/DVDs)
Number of Devices Collected: 1

1B Digital

16GB SanDisk USB Drive
Collected On: 01/11/2016
Seizing Individual:
Collected By:
Location Area: Delivered to FBI
Specific Location: Delivered to FBI
Device Type: Compact Disc/Digital Video Disc (CDs/DVDs)
Number of Devices Collected: 1

***
Precedence: ROUTINE

Date: 02/01/2016

To: Washington Field

From: Washington Field

CI-13

Contact: [blank]

Approved By: [blank]

Drafted By: [blank]

Case ID #: (S/AM) - 141

Title: (S/AM)

MISMANAGEMENT OF CLASSIFIED;
UNKNOWN SUBJECT OR COUNTRY;
SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER (SIM)

Synopsis: (U/TOPC) Internet research on domains and IPs associated with the Internet domain name clintonemail.com.

Details: (U/TOPC) This EC serves to memorialize open source research conducted in August 2015 by the writer on the domain clintonemail.com. The purpose of this research was to understand IP addresses and other Internet domain names that were associated with the clintonemail.com domain both presently and historically. The results of this research and accompanying screenshots are preserved in a Microsoft Word document that is attached to this EC as a 1A item. This research was conducted on 08/15/2015 and 08/16/2015 respectively.

HRC-886
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Complaint Form

Title: (U//FOUO) Evidence of Hillary Clinton’s misuse of classified documents

Date: 02/22/2016

CC: JONATHAN C. MOFFAT
STRZOK PETER P II

Approved By: ________________

Drafted By: __________________

Case ID #: __________________

Complaint Synopsis: (U//FOUO) To present evidence of Hillary Clinton’s misuse of classified documents by putting them on an unclassified e-mail system.

Full Investigation Initiated: 07/10/2015

Enclosure(s): Enclosed are the following items:
1. (U//FOUO) Walk-in Clinton documents

Received On: 01/27/2016

Receipt Method: In Person

Incident Type: Criminal Activity

Complaint Details:

On January 27, 2016, writer spoke with walk-in phone number _______ and address: _______________________

_________ explained to writer he had sent evidence of Hillary Clinton’s
Title: (U//FOUO) Evidence of Hillary Clinton’s misuse of classified documents
Re: 02/22/2016

misuse of classified documents to the FBI Director earlier in January 2016, but when he called to confirm receipt he could not do so and therefore wanted to walk-in to make sure the information was received by the right people at the FBI, specifically the "task force" working on the Clinton e-mail scandal.

explained he was a long-time government employee and had previously worked for many years at the Department of State. He provided a resume and a U.S. Foreign Service Employee Evaluation Report to prove his bona fides.

did not go in to detail as to what the evidence was as he had provided other typed documents explaining the evidence to the unclassified level he could. He offered to be interviewed in a SCIF so he could talk at a higher classification level to further explain other evidence he had.

All of the documents provided by are being attached in a 1A for further review by the appropriate personnel reviewing this matter.

Entities:

Name/Biographical Information
Name:
Minor? No
Has Diplomatic Status? No

Communication Account 1
Type: Telephone
Account:

Communication Account 2
Type: Email
Account:

Location
Address:
City:
State:
Title: (U//FOO) Evidence of Hillary Clinton's misuse of classified documents

Re: 02/22/2016

Zip Code: 

Country: United States
Event Title: (U) WILLIAMS AND CONNOLLY

Approved By: 

Drafted By: 

Case ID #: (S//NF)

MISHANDLING OF CLASSIFIED;
UNKNOWN SUBJECT OR COUNTRY;
SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER (SIM)

Reason: 1.4(c) 
Derived From: Multiple Sources
Declassify On: 2041231

Full Investigation Initiated: 07/10/2015

Collected By: Missing on Missing

Collected From:

Receipt Given?: No

Holding Office: WASHINGTON FIELD

Item Type  Description
1B Digital  (U) ONE (1) IPAD WITH SERIAL NUMBER 012224007843867
Collected On: 02/23/2016
Seizing Individual: 
Collected By: 
Location Area: WDC
Specific Location: WDC
Device Type: Laptop/Tablet Computer
Number of Devices Collected: 1
Event Title: (X) Collection of [ ] from CHS  Date: 03/15/2016

Approved By: [ ]

Drafted By: [ ]

Case ID #: [ ]

MISHANDLING OF CLASSIFIED;
UNKNOWN SUBJECT OR COUNTRY;
SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER (SIM)

Reason: 1.4(c)
Derived From: Multiple Sources
Declassify On: 20411231

Full Investigation Initiated: 07/10/2015

Collected From: CHS

Receipt Given?: No

Holding Office: WASHINGTON FIELD

Details: No Details Provided

Item Type  Description
1B Digital  [U//FOUO]

Collected On: 03/09/2016
Seizing Individual: [ ]
Collected By: [ ]
Location Area: NA
Specific Location: NA
Device Type: [ ]
Number of Devices Collected: 1
Title: [X] Collection of [ ] from [ ]
Re: [ ] 03/15/2016
Date: March 31, 2016

To: Supervisory Attorney
Office of Chief Counsel
United States Secret Service

From: Acting Unit Chief
National Security Law Branch, Office of the General Counsel

Subject: Request for Assistance

(U//FOUO) On July 6, 2015, the FBI received a Section 811(c) Referral from the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community regarding a potential compromise of classified information. Pursuant to this referral, the FBI respectfully requests U.S. Secret Service assistance in coordinating an interview of [Redacted] as a witness in this matter.

(U//FOUO) If you have any questions regarding this request, please direct all inquiries to Special Agent [Redacted] at

Sincerely,

[Redacted]
Acting Unit Chief
National Security Law Branch
Office of the General Counsel

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Collected Item Log

Event Title: (S) __________________________

Date: 04/18/2016

Approved By: ____________________________

Drafted By: ______________________________

Case ID #: ________________________________

(S/MP)

MISHANDLING OF CLASSIFIED;
UNKNOWN SUBJECT OR COUNTRY;
SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER (SIM)

Reason: 1.4(b)

Derived From: FBI
NSISC-20090615
Declassify On: 20261231

Full Investigation Initiated: 07/10/2015

Collected From: FBI

Receipt Given?: No

Holding Office: WASHINGTON FIELD

Details: No Details Provided

Item Type  1B Digital  (U)

Description  (1) iPad Mini, S/N __________________________

Collected On: 04/18/2016

Seizing Individual: ___________________________

Collected By: ________________________________

Location Area: Williams and Connolly, LLP
Specific Location: 725 12th St., NW, Washington, DC 20005
Device Type: Other
Other Device Type: iPad Mini
Serial Number: ______________________________

Number of Devices Collected: 1

SECRET/NO PORN

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
1A Digital (U) 

One 8 GB Patriot Memory Supersonic XT USB Drive, Black in Color, contains CART Working Files.
Collected On: 03/22/2016
Seizing Individual: 
Collected By: 
Location Area: Mission Ridge Facility
Specific Location: IAU
Device Type: SD Card/Flash Drive
Number of Devices Collected: 1

1B Digital (U) 

Clone of QKQ14/1B48
Collected On: 03/22/2016
Seizing Individual: 
Collected By: 
Location Area: Mission Ridge Facility
Specific Location: IAU
Device Type: Hard Drive
Number of Devices Collected: 1

1B Digital (U) 

Containing a forensic image of QHQ3 (BP Server), QHQ8 (VM's form PRN Server), QHQ9 (BP external drive), and QHQ12 (PRN Server)
Collected On: 03/22/2016
Seizing Individual: 
Collected By: 
Location Area: Mission Ridge Facility
Specific Location: IAU
Device Type: Hard Drive
Number of Devices Collected: 1

1B Digital (U) 

One 4 TB Western Digital Hard Drive Labeled DEHQ3,
Model WF4003FZEX, S/N 
Collected On: 03/22/2016
Seizing Individual: 
Collected By: 
Location Area: Mission Ridge Facility
Specific Location: IAU
Device Type: Hard Drive
Number of Devices Collected: 1
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Collected Item Log

Event Title: (S)  
Date: 04/19/2016

Approved By: 

Drafted By: 

Case ID #: (S/MT)  
MISHANDLING OF CLASSIFIED; UNKNOWN SUBJECT OR COUNTRY; SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER (SIM)

Reason: 1.4(b)

Derived From: FBI
NSISC-20090615
Declassify On: 20261231

Full Investigation Initiated: 07/10/2015

Collected From: IT Specialist/Forensic Examiner FBI

Receipt Given?: No

Holding Office: WASHINGTON FIELD

Details: No Details Provided

Item Type 1B Digital  

Description: (x) One 500 GB Seagate Barracuda Hard Drive Labeled DEHQ55 S/N containing forensic images of QHQ1 (1B1) and QHQ2 (1B2) Created for IMA Review
Collected On: 02/02/2016
Seizing Individual:
Collected By:
Location Area: FBI/OTD
Specific Location: NA
Device Type: Hard Drive
Serial Number: 
Number of Devices Collected: 1

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency, it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Collected Item Log

Event Title: (U) WILLIAMS AND CONNOLLY, 725 TWELFTH ST. NW WDC

Date: 04/26/2016

Approved By: 

Drafted By: 

Case ID #: (S/H/D)

MISHANDLING OF CLASSIFIED;
UNKNOWN SUBJECT OR COUNTRY;
SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER (SIM)

Reason: 1.4(b)

Derived From: FBI NSISC-20090615

Declassify On: 20261231

Full Investigation Initiated: 07/10/2015

Collected From: KATHERINE TURNER

Receipt Given?: No

Holding Office: WASHINGTON FIELD

Details: No Details Provided

Item Type Description

1B Digital

(U) ONE (1) SAMSUNG 128 GB SSD WITH S/N:

ONE (1) SAMSUNG 256 GB SSD WITH S/N

Collected On: 04/25/2016

Seizing Individual: 

Collected By: 

Location Area: 725 TWELFTH STREET, NW WDC

Specific Location: LOBBY

Device Type: Compact Disc/Digital Video Disc(CDs/DVDS)

Number of Devices Collected: 2

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
(U//FOGG) On April 18, 2016, KATHERINE TURNER, of Williams & Connolly LLP, 725 12th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20005, telephone number 202-434-5487, provided Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agent (SA) and SA with the following item:

(U//FOGG) (1) iPad Mini S/N:

(U//FOGG) A copy of the property receipt provided to TURNER is attached to this communication as a 1A.
May 17, 2016

VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

The Honorable James B. Comey, Jr.
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
935 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20535

Dear Director Comey:

I am writing in regard to the FBI’s ongoing investigation relating to Secretary Clinton’s use of a non-government server and email address for her official State Department business. In particular, I am writing to raise concerns about the appearance of a conflict of interest by Justice Department officials and to seek your input about possible remedies. To state the obvious, it is a rare occurrence to have an administration’s former cabinet official being investigated under the authority of that very same administration. The circumstances are further complicated by the fact that the investigation is underway during a presidential election year in which Secretary Clinton is her party’s frontrunner. Moreover, the President and his press secretary have made statements that seemed to prejudge the scope and outcome of the FBI’s ongoing investigation. Taken together, these circumstances reasonably raise the serious appearance of a conflict of interest. This is not just an academic concern, as it appears the Justice Department may be trying to keep the scope of the FBI’s investigation unrealistically narrow, according to press reports.

While career FBI and Justice Department attorneys may be involved in the investigation, political appointees at the Justice Department, including the Attorney General herself, will make the ultimate determination whether or not to prosecute Secretary Clinton and her associates. Even if these

---

1 At a recent hearing before the House Appropriations Committee, Congressman John Carter asked the Attorney General if she would authorize prosecution if the FBI makes the case that Secretary Clinton broke the law. In response, Attorney General Lynch testified that the FBI and Justice Department personnel involved in the investigation “will make a recommendation to me when the time is appropriate” and refused to answer whether she would authorize prosecution if that is the FBI’s recommendation. The P.Y. 2017 Budget for the Dep’t of Justice: Hearing Before the House Committee on Appropriations, Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies, 114th Cong. (2016).
appointees are acting with the utmost integrity and professionalism, at the very minimum, the appearance of a conflict clearly exists. Indeed, there appear to be at least three areas of conflict here.

First, because the Justice Department officials who will make the decision are political appointees, their prospects for continuing employment at the Department are likely tied to whether Secretary Clinton or another Democrat is elected President in the fall. It is understandable that the public might believe there is a conflict of interest when it appears that the political appointees making the decision would harm their own employment prospects if they moved forward with a prosecution, should the investigation find one warranted.

Second, the President and his press secretary have made public statements that seem to suggest the President's preferred outcome in this investigation by downplaying the seriousness of the underlying facts. The President has since claimed he is not exerting political influence on the decision whether or not to initiate a prosecution because he does not talk to the Attorney General about pending investigations. But this misses the point. It is not necessary for the President to exert political influence through private, one-on-one conversations; the public statements by the President and his press secretary undoubtedly also reached his Justice Department appointees and broadcast his preferences to them. Accordingly, in doing so he may have put additional pressure on his political appointees to refuse to approve indictments or at least to narrow the scope of the investigation. If the political appointees' boss, the President, has already publicly asserted that Secretary Clinton merely acted with "carelessness" and that her actions did not threaten national security, how can his political appointees contradict him if the investigation finds otherwise?

Third, Attorney General Lynch has additional professional associations with the Clintons that underscore the appearance of a conflict. Former President Bill Clinton appointed her to be the U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of New York. In between serving as the U.S. Attorney under President Clinton and returning to the position under President Obama, she was a partner at a law firm that represented both President and Secretary Clinton. Once again, even if the Attorney General is acting in good faith, there is at least an appearance of a conflict of interest.

In short, there are legitimate reasons why the public would question whether a potential conflict of interest could affect the Justice Department's decision whether to pursue one or more prosecutions in this matter. Moreover, the current process for making these decisions lacks any meaningful transparency, scrutiny, or accountability. Especially in this unique circumstance, it is vitally important that the public have confidence in the outcome of the investigation, whatever it may be.  

---


3 Even journalists who are sympathetic to Secretary Clinton have noted this. See Ruth Marcus, Why a No-Indictment for Hillary Clinton Would Still Be a Problem for America, THE WASHINGTON POST, Mar. 29, 2016. (stating: "It bears some thinking at the top levels of the Justice Department and the FBI about whether there is some way to mitigate the suspicion [that political meddling will squelch an indictment] by making more information public than is the norm." as well as noting, "There's no indication that Justice has contemplated [appointing a special counsel].")
The Justice Department has a mechanism in place to deal with such conflicts, namely, appointing a Special Counsel from outside of the Department, but the Attorney General has not exercised it. The Special Counsel regulations are supposed to help ensure fair and impartial investigations in the face of conflicts, although the use of a Special Counsel can present its own issues. According to the regulations:

The Attorney General, or in cases in which the Attorney General is recused, the Acting Attorney General, will appoint a Special Counsel when he or she determines that criminal investigation of a person or matter is warranted and-

(a) That investigation or prosecution of that person or matter by a United States Attorney’s Office or litigating Division of the Department of Justice would present a conflict of interest for the Department or other extraordinary circumstances; and

(b) That under the circumstances, it would be in the public interest to appoint an outside Special Counsel to assume responsibility for the matter.4

According to the regulations, there are three conditions for appointing a Special Counsel: 1) the Attorney General decides an investigation is warranted; 2) the Justice Department has a conflict of interest or there are other extraordinary circumstances; and 3) the public interest is served. Based upon the available facts and circumstances, all of those conditions appear to have been met here.

This is not just an academic issue about conflicts of interest; it is possible that the Justice Department might be failing to provide the FBI with all the resources it needs for this investigation and might be improperly limiting the investigation’s scope. You recently testified before Congress that you are “very close personally to that investigation to ensure that [the FBI has] all the resources [it] need[s], including people and technology.”5 But, to the best of my knowledge, the Justice Department has made no similar commitment. The Department refused for months to confirm to the Committee that an investigation was underway at all, and it still refuses officially to confirm the scope of the investigation or whether it has provided the necessary Department resources for the FBI to properly address all the relevant legal issues.

Information in the public domain raises serious questions about whether the Department has done so. For example, it is unclear whether the Justice Department has empaneled a federal grand jury in order to issue subpoenas, so that the FBI can gather all of the relevant information. As a recent article in the Washington Post noted, “there is no indication that prosecutors have convened a grand

---

4 28 C.F.R. § 600.1.
jury in the email investigation to subpoena testimony or documents. Given the public reports of the resources and time being devoted to the investigation by the FBI, this appears highly unusual.

Moreover, Attorney General Lynch’s public statements seem to imply that the investigation is being limited to an extremely narrow scope, as she only acknowledges that the investigation is evaluating the issues relating to Secretary Clinton’s and her subordinates’ potential mishandling of classified information. In a recent interview, Attorney General Lynch described the investigation as follows: “We're looking at whether or not classified information was handled in a particular way, in an appropriate way.” At her recent appearance before the Committee, Senator Thom Tillis asked if the Justice Department had analyzed issues surrounding the joint income President and Secretary Clinton received from foreign governments for speeches President Clinton made, an issue raised by some of the released emails. One of the Clintons’ joint tax returns implicated in this and related public corruption issues was prepared by the law firm of Hogan & Hartson while the Attorney General was a partner at the firm. In response, the Attorney General seemed unaware of the Constitutional issue raised by Senator Tillis and testified that “the matter that has been under discussion both in this and other proceedings has been the Department’s review of how the State Department handled classified information.”

A recent news report also included the assertion by anonymous “U.S. officials” that the investigation is solely focused on the handling of classified information and has not included any other legal issues stemming from the server arrangement or information revealed from the retrieved emails.

---

7 This is in contrast to a report alleging that the FBI expanded the investigation to address public corruption issues. See Catherine Herridge, Pamela K. Browne, FBI's Clinton Probe Expands to Public Corruption Track, FOX NEWS, Jan. 11, 2016.
9 See Meet the Attorney General, THE DEPT. OF JUSTICE, available at https://www.justice.gov/ag/meet-attorney-general (noting that the Attorney General was a partner at Hogan & Hartson/Hogan Lovells from 2002-2010); see also Hillary Clinton Releases Health, Financial Records, HILLARYCLINTON.COM, available at https://www.hillaryclinton.com/tax-returns/ (showing the Clintons’ 2007-14 joint tax returns were prepared by Hogan & Hartson/Hogan Lovells).
11 Evan Perez, Pamela Brown, Simon Prokupecz, FBI Interviews Clinton Aides Including Huma Abedin As Part of Email Probe, CNN, May 6, 2016.
12 If accurate, this statement by a U.S. official about the full scope of the investigation is additionally troubling in light of the fact that an FBI official recently filed a sworn declaration in a FOIA case regarding records relating to the investigation stating that “[b]eyond Director Comey’s acknowledgment of the security referral from the Inspectors General of the Intelligence Community and the Department of State, the FBI has not and cannot publicly acknowledge the specific scope, focus, or potential targets of any such investigation without adversely affecting the investigation.” See Depl. of David M. Hardy, Leopold v. Dep't of Justice, 15-cv-2117 (DDC), ECF No. 9-1 at 7. In that lawsuit, the FBI official declared under penalty of perjury that the FBI was withholding materials it had recovered from the server, and other records relating to the investigation, because they are exempt under FOIA Exemption 7(A). He stated that those records and other FBI records relating to the investigation were “compiled for law enforcement purposes” and that their disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with “a pending or prospective law enforcement proceeding” – Exemption 7(A)’s prerequisites. Id. at 7-10. He similarly stated that the records “are potential evidence in the FBI’s investigation,” that disclosing “evidence [or] potential evidence [] while the investigation is active [] could undermine the pending investigation by prematurely ...
Perhaps the most troubling report is the Washington Post’s recent article about the FBI’s interview of Cheryl Mills. That article stated that Justice Department officials had made an agreement with Ms. Mills’ attorney that it “would be off limits” for the FBI to ask her questions during the interview about her role in deciding which of the emails on Secretary Clinton’s server would be deleted and which would be turned over to the State Department. The article further stated that the FBI agents nonetheless asked about this important issue, that Ms. Mills walked out of the interview briefly in response, and that the Justice Department prosecutors were “taken aback that their FBI colleagues” had asked the question. Ms. Mills reportedly never answered the FBI’s questions on this issue.

Secretary Clinton’s potential mishandling of classified information is undoubtedly important and must be fully investigated. However, it would be disturbing if the Justice Department has narrowed the investigation to prevent the FBI from also investigating the other important issues raised by this extraordinary situation. For example, press reports have indicated that the FBI has been able to recover emails deleted from Secretary Clinton’s private server. If federal records on the private server were hidden or destroyed, then there may have been a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2071, which prohibits concealing or destroying such federal records. If any of the deleted emails were responsive to Congressional inquiries or to agency inquiries, such as ones from the State Department Inspector General, then there may have been violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1505 and 1519, respectively. Similarly, the content of many of the released emails implicates Constitutional issues and public corruption laws by raising issues relating to joint income from paid speeches given by President Clinton for foreign government entities, as well as the blurring of the lines between the actions taken on behalf of the State Department, the Clinton Foundation, and Teneo, a private firm founded by a former counselor to President Clinton.

Despite this range of relevant legal issues, which extend far beyond the national security issues raised by the potential mishandling of classified information, public reports only indicate that the Justice Department has assigned personnel from its National Security Division to supervise the FBI’s investigation, with another report claiming the U.S. Attorney’s Office from the Eastern District of Virginia is also involved. There is no indication that the Justice Department has assigned prosecutors from its Public Integrity Section or prosecutors with expertise in the unlawful concealment or deletion?

revealing its scope and focus, [and that] if individuals become aware of the scope and focus of [the] investigation, they can take defensive actions to conceal their activities, elude detection, and/or suppress evidence.” Id. at 9-10 (emphasis added).


14 Id.

15 Id.

16 Id.


18 Supra n. 6 (“The email investigation is being conducted by FBI counterintelligence agents and supervised by the Justice Department’s National Security Division.”).

of federal records. Indeed, when Attorney General Lynch was specifically asked if any prosecutors from the Department’s Public Integrity Section are working on the case, she refused to answer.\(^\text{20}\)

In previous investigations, the Department of Justice has been willing to state which of its divisions and sections had attorneys working on a particular case.\(^\text{21}\) Because there is no indication that Justice Department has provided any resources relating to the other legal issues surrounding Secretary Clinton’s private email server, and in light of the Attorney General’s statements about the scope of the investigation, as well as the report claiming the Justice Department made an agreement with Ms. Mills’ attorney to preclude the FBI from asking her about the sorting and deletion of email, it appears that the Justice Department might be improperly limiting the scope of the FBI’s investigation. Viewed within the context of the Department’s appearance of a conflict of interest in this case, this raises the question of whether a Special Counsel is in fact needed.

One news report has even gone so far as to claim, without naming its sources, that FBI “agents have been spreading the word, largely through associates in the private sector, that their boss is getting stonewalled [by Obama political appointees], despite uncovering compelling evidence that Clinton broke the law.”\(^\text{22}\) The article further claimed that “FBI sources say [Director Comey] has no backing from President Obama and Attorney General Loretta Lynch to recommend charges against the former secretary.”\(^\text{23}\) While this report may or may not be accurate, it is understandable that the public’s confidence in the integrity and independence of any prosecutorial decision is in question, given the appearance of a conflict of interest by the political appointees in charge of that process. This is precisely the type of situation a Special Counsel appointment is designed to address.

You have experience in these issues not only from the perspective of the FBI, but also from the perspective of the Justice Department, and specifically in the context of investigations of senior administration officials. While you were serving as the Deputy Attorney General during the George W. Bush administration, Attorney General Ashcroft recused himself from the investigation regarding the Valerie Plame leak, due to the appearance of a conflict of interest he had as a result of past professional associations with one of the suspects, which then made you the Acting Attorney General for that case. In that case, there was substantial public concern about whether an investigation of administration officials that was being conducted under the oversight of the administration’s political appointees would be fair and impartial. Upon being designated Acting Attorney General, you removed the case from the usual Justice Department chain of command and appointed a special prosecutor.

\(^\text{20}\) Supra n. 8. This is additionally troubling because prior Department of Justice guidance on “Election Year Sensitivities” states if prosecutors “are faced with a question regarding the timing of charges or overt investigative steps near the time of a primary or general election, please contact the Public Integrity Section of the Criminal Division for further guidance.” Election Year Sensitivities, THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Mar. 9, 2012. Available at https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/oip/legacy/2014/07/23/ag-memo-election-year-sensitivities.pdf

\(^\text{21}\) For example, in the investigation into the IRS’s targeting of conservative groups, the Justice Department acknowledged while the investigation was ongoing that it had assigned attorneys from both its Criminal Division and Civil Rights Division.


\(^\text{23}\) Id.
Patrick Fitzgerald, to handle the matter. Mr. Fitzgerald subsequently brought an indictment against the Vice President’s Chief of Staff, Scooter Libby, who was later convicted. Accordingly, it appears that based on your relevant experience you are uniquely suited to weigh in on whether a Special Counsel is needed in the current case.

In order for the Committee to evaluate the issues surrounding the investigation stemming from Secretary Clinton’s use of a non-government server and email address for her official business, and related issues, please answer the following:

1. In general, under what circumstances do you believe that the FBI would need to work with a Special Counsel from outside of the Justice Department in order to properly conduct an investigation? Please describe in detail.

2. In general, if you believed that the Department of Justice, pursuant to the regulations, had a conflict of interest in a particular investigation, would you express this view, and if so, how?
   a. Would you make a request to the Justice Department for a Special Counsel? If not, why not?
   b. If you were to make a request, but the request were denied by the Justice Department, would you notify this Committee, which has oversight authority over these matters? If not, why not?

3. Do you believe that a Special Counsel is warranted in the investigation stemming from Secretary Clinton’s use of a non-government server and email address for her official State Department business? If not, why not, and what is different about the current extraordinary circumstances that causes you to reach a different conclusion than you did in the Valerie Plame matter?

4. Has the FBI requested or otherwise indicated to the Justice Department or other administration officials that it believes a Special Counsel should be appointed in this case? If so, what was the response?

5. Has the Justice Department limited the scope of the FBI’s investigation in any way or denied it any resources?

6. Is the FBI aware of any agreements Justice Department officials have made with Secretary Clinton or her associates to deem certain areas of inquiry “off limits” in interviews with the FBI? If so, was the FBI consulted about such narrowing of topics?

24 While you did not rely on 28 C.F.R. § 600.1 to appoint Mr. Fitzgerald, you did explain the interaction between that regulation and his appointment during the press conference in which you announced your decision. See http://www.cspan.org/video/?179743-1/special-prosecutor-appointment
Did the Justice Department officials make these agreements over the objection of the FBI?

7. Has the FBI requested that a grand jury be empaneled in connection with this investigation? If so, did the Department of Justice deny that request?

8. Has the Justice Department assigned prosecutors to the investigation from its Public Integrity Section, who have relevant experience in public corruption laws, or assigned prosecutors with experience in the laws pertaining to the destruction of federal records? If not, has the Justice Department explained to the FBI why it has not?

Please provide your answers by May 31, 2016. Thank you for your attention to this important matter. If you have any questions, please contact Patrick Davis of my Committee Staff at (202) 224-5225.

Sincerely,

Chuck Grassley
Charles E. Grassley
Chairman
Committee on the Judiciary

cc: The Honorable Patrick J. Leahy
Ranking Member
Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Thom Tillis
United States Senator for North Carolina

The Honorable John Carter
Congressman for the 31st District of Texas
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Event Title: (S) [Redacted] Date: 06/16/2016

Approved By:

Drafted By:

Case ID #: (S/NI) [Redacted]
MISHANDLING OF CLASSIFIED;
UNKNOWN SUBJECT OR COUNTRY;
SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER (SIM)

Reason: 1.4(b)
Derived From: FBI
NSIS2-20090615
Declassify On: 20251231

Full Investigation Initiated: 07/10/2015

Collected From: Attorney [Redacted]
Wilkinson, Walsh and Eskovitz
1900 M Street NW, Suite 800
Washington

Receipt Given?: No

Holding Office: WASHINGTON FIELD

Details: No Details Provided

Item Type Description

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
1B Digital

- (U) 1 (1) Dell Latitude E6330 with serial number ____________
  Collected On: 06/10/2016 04:40 PM EDT
  Seizing Individual: ____________
  Collected By: ____________
  Location Area: 1900 M Street NW, Washington, DC 20036

Specific Location: Suite 800
Device Type: Laptop/Tablet Computer
Serial Number: ____________
Number of Devices Collected: 1

1B Digital

- (U) 1 (1) Lenovo Yoga 2 Pro with serial number ____________
  Collected On: 06/10/2016 04:40 PM EDT
  Seizing Individual: ____________
  Collected By: ____________
  Location Area: 1900 M Street NW, Washington, DC 20036

Specific Location: Suite 800
Device Type: Laptop/Tablet Computer
Serial Number: ____________
Number of Devices Collected: 1
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Precedence: ROUTINE

Date: 06/16/2016

To: Washington Field

From: Washington Field

CI-13

Contact: SOS

Approved By:

Drafted By:

(U)

Case ID #: 8

Title: (S)

MIS Handling of Classified;
Unknown Subject or Country;
Sensitive Investigative Matter (SIM)

Synopsis: (U//FOOU) To document e-mails that were forwarded from the OpenNet accounts of to their respective personal e-mail accounts during the time of HILLARY CLINTON (CLINTON)'s tenure as Secretary of State.

Classified By: F36M12K15
Derived From: FBI NSIC dated 20130301
Declassify On: 20410610

Details: (U//FOOU) During the FBI's review of CLINTON's e-mails and other related collections of e-mails, the FBI identified that would at times use their personal e-mail accounts in lieu of their State-provided OpenNet e-mail accounts for apparent official State business.

(U) Use Of Personal Accounts At State

(U//FOOU) To gain understanding of use of his personal e-mail account while at State, writer exported the e-mail files from to a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. Writer then filtered to ' in which it was discovered that 24 e-mails were forwarded, and all 24 landed outside of CLINTON's tenure as Secretary of State, thus irrelevant to our write-up. So writer then looked to the

SECRET//NOFORN

HRC-981
and writer exported those e-mails to a different Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. Writer then filtered: from [ ] to [ ] and it was discovered that [ ] forwarded 2,644 emails from his OpenNet email account to his personal Google (i.e. Gmail) e-mail account. While not portion marked as classified, 3 of these e-mails were determined to be classified CONFIDENTIAL through a subsequent State Department classification review.

(U) Use Of Personal Accounts At State

(U//FOOU) To gain understanding of [ ] use of her personal e-mail account while at State, writer exported the e-mail files from [ ] Forwarded Emails to a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. Writer then filtered: from [ ] to [ ] in which it was discovered that 1,797 e-mails were forwarded from her OpenNet e-mail account to her personal Yahoo and Clinton e-mail accounts. 138 of the 1,797 e-mails forwarded contained CLINTON's 'hrd22@clintonemail.com' address within the e-mail chain. Through a subsequent State Department classification review, while not portion marked as classified, 1 of the emails [ ] forwarded from her work email to her personal email [ ] was determined to have classified SECRET information within its document attachment.

(U) Use Of Personal Accounts At State

(U//FOOU) To gain understanding of [ ] use of her personal e-mail account while at State, writer exported the e-mail files from [ ] to a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. Writer then filtered: from [ ] to [ ] and it was discovered that 657 e-mails were forwarded from her work e-mail to her personal gmail account. None of the e-mails [ ] forwarded from her work e-mail to her personal e-mail have been determined to be classified.
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MISHANDLING OF CLASSIFIED;
UNKNOWN SUBJECT OR COUNTRY;
SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER (SIM)

Reason: 1.4(b)
Derived From: FBI
NSISC-20090615
Declassify On: 20261231

Full Investigation Initiated: 07/10/2015

Collected From: [Blank]  b7E
Receipt Given?: No
Holding Office: WASHINGTON FIELD

Details: No Details Provided

Item Type  Description

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Title: [Redacted]
Re: 06/23/2016

1B Digital
(U) 1 DVD - White Marked

Collected On: 06/23/2016 01:55 PM EDT
Seizing Individual: [Redacted]
Collected By: [Redacted]
Location Area: NA
Specific Location: Na
Device Type: Compact Disc/Digital Video Disc(CDS/DVDs)
Number of Devices Collected: 1

**
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Date: 07/15/2016

To: Washington Field

From: Washington Field

CI-13

Contact: SOS

Approved By:

b6

Drafted By:

b7C

Case ID #: 177

Title: MISSHANDLING OF CLASSIFIED; UNKNOWN SUBJECT OR COUNTRY; SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER (SIM)

Synopsis: (U//FOUO) Documents an analysis of e-mail communications between hdr22@clintonemail.com and huma@clintonemail.com.

References:

Classified By: F22M62K21
Derived From: FBI-NSIC dated 20130301
Declassify On: 20411231

Details: (U//FOUO) The instant communication documents an analysis conducted by the author regarding the Department of State (DoS) tenure e-mail correspondence between the personal accounts of HILLARY CLINTON (hdr22@clintonemail.com) and HUMA ABEDIN (huma@clintonemail.com). The author analyzed e-mail correspondence across various datasets acquired by the FBI during the course of its investigation. Ultimately, the author identified approximately 1,539 direct e-mails between hdr22@clintonemail.com and huma@clintonemail.com (i.e., no other email address was party to the e-mail communication) which were not provided to DoS by CLINTON in December 2014, but were assessed by the FBI to be work-related.

(U//FOUO) Analysis of the e-mails provided to DoS by CLINTON in December 2014 indicated huma@clintonemail.com was party to 2,598 total emails in the dataset. However, of these 2,598 emails, only 32 were direct communications exclusively between
huma@clintonemail.com and hdr22@clintonemail.com. The author determined each of the 32 e-mails contained references to either "Benghazi," "Libya" or "Tripoli." Consequently, the 32 e-mails possibly were provided to DoS because they hit on those search terms, rather than a search of huma@clintonemail.com. As a result, the process used by CLINTON's legal team to determine which of CLINTON's DoS tenure emails were work-related was possibly flawed in its handling of email correspondence between CLINTON and ABEDIN's huma@clintonemail.com address.

(U//FOOU) A summary communication detailing the findings of the analysis described above is attached to this electronic communication as a 1A.

(U) Analyst notes:

- (U/FOOU) The investigation assessed e-mails to be work-related if they were related to CLINTON's official capacity at DoS (e.g., scheduling, travel, call sheets, personnel appointments).

- (U/FOOU) During the course of its investigation, the FBI did not evaluate all recovered e-mails to determine which were work-related and which were personal. As a result, the analysis detailed in this communication does not represent the entirety of the work-related e-mails recovered by the FBI. Rather, the analysis detailed in this communication only focused on explicit direct communications between huma@clintonemail.com and hdr22@clintonemail.com in a subset of the datasets acquired by the FBI.

- (U/FOOU) Due to insufficient e-mail metadata associated with the datasets, ABEDIN's name often appeared in lieu of a specific email address. Despite indications this occurred with regard to huma@clintonemail.com e-mail communications, the author omitted these e-mails from the analysis because explicit e-mail address attribution could not be made.

- (U/FOOU) To ensure the 1,539 emails were not contained in the original 30,524 e-mail dataset provided to the FBI, the author used Internet Message IDs to identify duplicates.
However, the metadata associated with some e-mail messages was incomplete, and thus, the author utilized date/time stamps and subject lines to remove additional duplicates when Internet Message IDs were unavailable.
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To open a 302 Subfile for documents associated with interviews related to the captioned investigation.
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FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 08/25/2015


(U//FOUO) TURNER was further identified as a partner at WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP. [redacted] was further identified as an attorney at PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP. [redacted] also provided his business card which has been placed in the attached 1A envelope.

(U//FOUO) TURNER & [redacted] turned over six (6) boxes. Contained in the six (6) boxes were four (4) boxes of paper documents, six (6) laptop computers, two (2) USB flash drive devices, and miscellaneous power adapters for the laptops. The items, including make, model and serial numbers, are further described in the FD-597 Receipt for Property and Consent to Store Computer(s) documentation in the attached 1A envelope.

(U//FOUO) At the time the items were taken into FBI custody, TURNER advised SA [redacted] that two of the laptops had flash drives currently inserted in them. The flash drives had not previously been removed by TURNER or anyone else because they were “coupled” with the computer (nfi).

(U//FOUO) The items were transported directly to the FBI’s Washington Field Office and submitted into the Evidence Control Center (ECC). At the time the items were turned in, SA [redacted] removed the USB devices from the laptop in which they were inserted in order to account for the evidentiary items individually. The USB flash drive labeled “HC Prime2” was removed from the following laptop: LENOVO type 4236-VQ5 bearing serial number: [redacted] The 16 GB Lexar USB flash drive was removed from the following laptop” LENOVO type 4236-VQ5 bearing serial number: [redacted]
On September 24, 2015, [name redacted] of WilmerHale, 1875 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Washington, D.C. 20006, provided Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agent [redacted] with a silver Apple brand Mac Book Air laptop, serial number C02LF01CFM74. The laptop was thereafter transported to the FBI Washington Field Office (WFO) and logged into the Evidence Control Center (ECC).

A copy of the property receipt and a letter provided by WilmerHale, which describes the contents of the laptop, are enclosed in an attached 1A.
On September 25, 2015, KATHERINE TURNER, of Williams & Connolly LLP, 725 12th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20005, telephone number 202-434-5487, provided Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents and with an envelope of documents. TURNER advised that the documents were part of the prior trove of documents turned over by William & Connolly and that the same privileges applied to the envelope of documents. TURNER further stated that she was not providing consent for the FBI to search the provided documents.

The envelope of documents was thereafter transported to the FBI Washington Field Office (WFO) and logged into the Evidence Control Center (ECC). A copy of the property receipt is enclosed in an attached 1A.

Investigation on 09/25/2015 at Washington, DC

File # 302 - (O) Date dictated N/A

By SA

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
On September 3, 2015, a Confidential Human Source (CHS), not in a position to testify provided the following information:

Pursuant to CHS's

For background, CHS's

Investigation on 09/03/2015 at Washington, DC

File # 302-11 Date dictated N/A

By SA

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency, it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 10/05/2015

On October 5, 2015, of WilmerHale, 1875 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, provided Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents with a silver Apple brand Mac Book Pro laptop, serial number W89361H6644, and power cord. The laptop and power cord were thereafter transported to the FBI Washington Field Office (WFO) and logged into the Evidence Control Center (ECC).

A copy of the property receipt and a letter provided by WilmerHale, which describes the contents of the laptop, are enclosed in an attached 1A.

Investigation on 10/05/2015 at Washington, DC

File # 302 - 14 Date dictated N/A

SA

By SA

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
On October 6, 2015, telephone number provided Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents and with Server 882.DATTOBackup.com, barcode C8470FC11M70024, PIN

The item was thereafter transported to the FBI Washington Field Office (WFO) and logged into the Evidence Control Center (ECC). A copy of the property receipt is enclosed in an attached IA.
On October 8, 2015, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents transported a 1TB, Hitachi drive, barcode 0A38028BA31200C97, lab#150806250, from the FBI Engineering Research Facility in Quantico, VA to Williams & Connolly, 725 12th St NW, Washington, DC. The Hitachi drive was accepted by Katherine Turner.

A copy of the property receipt is enclosed in an attached 1A.
On October 3, 2015, KATHERINE TURNER, of Williams & Connolly LLP, 725 12th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20005, telephone number 202-434-5487, provided Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agent with the following:

One (1) Dell Power Edge 1950 (Service tag 5YSXWB1, express service code 12988372429) Physical Blackberry Enterprise Server (BES).

One (1) Seagate Desktop External Hard Drive, 1000 GB, S/N 2GHJ026M/Power Supply/USB Cable.

One (1) Supermicro 2U Server, Model 52000, Serial Number 002590AFDEBE, Invoice 482547.

Approximately 1 TB of data from the Platt River Network (PRN) server, as defined in the 10-3-2015 letter from to David E. Kendall.

The aforementioned items were received in Equinix Incorporated located at 275 Hartz Way, Secaucus, NJ, 07094, and transported to the FBI Washington Field Office (WFO) where they were logged into the Evidence Control Center (ECC). A copy of the property receipt is enclosed in an attached 1A.

Investigation on 10/3/2015 at Secaucus, NJ

File # 302 - 17 Date dictated N/A

By SA 10-5-15

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

HRC-1034
On October 8, 2015, KATHERINE TURNER, of Williams & Connolly LLP, 725 12th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20005, telephone number 202-434-5487, provided Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agent with the following:

One (1) Dell Power Edge 620, Service Tag GXJWFX1, Service Code 36857327509, Mfg Date 20130608.

One (1) Cisco NAS, Model NSS324, S/N:

The aforementioned items were received at Equinix Incorporated located at 275 Hartz Way, Secaucus, NJ, 07094, and transported to the FBI Washington Field Office (WFO) where they were logged into the Evidence Control Center (ECC). A copy of the property receipt is enclosed in an attached 1A.

Investigation on 10/8/2015 at Secaucus, NJ

File # 302 - D Date dictated N/A

By SA

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
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**Electronic Communication**

**Title:** (U//FOUO) Submission of FD-340

**Date:** 10/14/2015

**From:** WASHINGTON FIELD

WF-CI13

**Contact:**

---

**Approved By:**

---

**Drafted By:**

---

**Case ID #: 302 [S//NF] 19**

MISHANDLING OF CLASSIFIED:

UNKNOWN SUBJECT OR COUNTRY;

SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIVE MATTER (SIM)

---

**Synopsis:** (U//FOUO) To document the submission of a FD-340 to the 1A sub-file of the captioned case file.

**Reason:** 1.4(b)

Derived From: National Security Information SCG

Declassify On: 20401231

**Reference:** 302 Serial 15

**Enclosure(s):** Enclosed are the following items:

1. (U//FOUO) Receipt from evidence received from

**Details:**

(U//FOUO) This communication servers to document the submission of a FD-340 (1A) to the 1A sub-file of the captioned case file.

---

HRC-1037
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Date of transcription 10/22/2015

On October 16, 2015, KATHERINE M. TURNER, Partner, Williams and Connolly met with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agent (SA) TURNER provided SA with the following items:

- 32 GB Apple iPad, S/N
- Black Berry 8700G, IMEI 357646005545990
- Black Berry 8310, IMEI 359158027424467

Attached to this communication as a 1A is the FD-597 Receipt for Property, signed by TURNER, listing the above items.

Investigation on 10/16/2015 at Washington, D.C.

File # 802 - 23 Date dictated N/A

By SA SA

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

HRC-1039
On October 28, 2015, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents (SAs) and provided attorney with one (1) DVD labeled “Export DEHQ31” with date “10/23/15.” The DVD contained files related to Justin Cooper.
On November 4, 2015, Information Technology Specialist/Forensic Examiner (ITS/FE) of the Washington Field Office (WFO) Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Computer Analysis Response Team (CART) sent an email to FBI Supervisory Special Agent (SSA) and SSA of the Cyber Division, Technical Operations Unit with a

A copy of the email and a is included in a IA envelope.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 11/24/2015

On November 20, 2015, ___________________________ office telephone number
_________ mobile telephone number ______________________ telephonically contacted Federal Bureau of
Investigation (FBI) Special Agent (SA) ___________ provided SA ___________ with a
password to view the BIOS on a Datto backup device already in the custody of the FBI. The password
was: ___________

Investigation on 11/20/2015 at McLean, VA ____________________________

File # 302  ~ 32 Date dictated N/A ____________________________

By SA ___________ 11/24/2015 ____________________________

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it
and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

HRC-1047
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 12/01/2015

On November 25, 2015, of WilmerHale, 1875 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, D.C. 20006, provided Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents and with one (1) Western Digital My Passport Ultra external hard drive with serial number WXG1AA3M2130. The hard drive was thereafter transported to the FBI Washington Field Office (WFO) and logged into the Evidence Control Center (ECC).

A copy of the property receipt and a letter provided by WilmerHale, which describes the contents of the drive, are enclosed in an attached IA.

Investigation on 11/25/2015 at Washington, DC

File # 302 - 35 Date dictated N/A

By SA

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

HRC-1049
(U/FOUO) On December 7, 2015, _______ emailed Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agent _______ and provided that he had checked his personal records and did not have any notes or telephonic logs relevant to the email that he sent on November 18, 2012 regarding the Benghazi investigation.

(U/FOUO) A copy of the email message is attached in a 1A envelope.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 12/29/2015

(U/FOUO) On December 15, 2015, [_____] home address [______] was interviewed at his residence by Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agent (SA) [______] and SA [______]. After being advised of the identity of the interviewing agents and the nature of the interview, [______] provided the following:

(U/FOUO) [______] advised he was seeking legal help and the Agents could not speak with him. [______] did not have a specific attorney's name to provide, but advised he would be in touch soon.

Investigation on 12/15/2015 at [______]

File # 302-4/3 Date dictated N/A

By SA [______] SA [______] 12/29/2015

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
On January 11, 2016, [name redacted] of WilmerHale, 1875 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Washington, D.C. 20006, provided Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agent [name redacted] with one (1) 16GB 3.0 Black SanDisk thumb drive. The hard drive was thereafter transported to the FBI Washington Field Office (WFO) and logged into the Evidence Control Center (ECC).

A copy of the property receipt and a letter provided by WilmerHale, which describes the contents of the thumb drive, are enclosed in an attached I.A.
(U//FOUO) This is a placeholder document for the FD-302a documenting the March 17, 2016, interview of MICHAEL MORELL, DOB [redacted] by Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents [redacted] and [redacted] at the FBI Washington Field Office, 601 4th Street NW, Washington DC.

(U//FOUO) The full FD-302a is housed in the sub TS_SCI file of the captioned investigation due to the classification level of the content discussed during the interview.
(U/FOUO) This is a placeholder document for the FD-302a documenting the February 27, 2016, interview of JACOB SULLIVAN, DOB ________, by Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents ________ and ________ at the FBI Washington Field Office, 601 4th Street NW, Washington DC.

(U/FOUO) The full FD-302a is housed in the sub TS SCI file of the captioned investigation due to the classification level of the content discussed during the interview.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 02/29/2016

(U//FOUO) This is a placeholder document for the FD-302a documenting the February 26, 2016, interview of [office redacted], DOB [redacted], by Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents [redacted] and [redacted] at the FBI Washington Field Office, 601 4th Street NW, Washington DC.

(U//FOUO) The full FD-302a is housed in the sub TS_SCI file of the captioned investigation due to the classified level of the content discussed during the interview.

Investigation on 02/26/2016 at Washington DC:

File # 302-4

Date dictated N/A

By SA

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
(U//FOUO) This is a placeholder document for the FD-302a documenting the March 15, 2016, interview of [ ] by Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents [ ] and [ ] in the FBI Washington Field Office.

(U//FOUO) The full FD-302a is housed in the sub TS_SCI file of the captioned investigation due to the classification level of the content discussed during the interview.
(U//FOUO) This is a placeholder document for the FD-302a documenting the March 10, 2016 interview of [blank] by Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents [blank] and [blank] in an FBI office in New York, NY.

(U//FOUO) The full FD-302a is housed in the sub TS_SCI file of the captioned investigation due to the classification level of the content discussed during the interview.

Investigation on 3/10/2016 at Washington, DC

File # 302-67 Date dictated N/A

By SA

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

HRC-1065
(U//FOUO) This is a placeholder document for the FD-302a documenting the April 09, 2016, interview of CHERYL MILLS by Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents _____ and _____ at the FBI Washington Field Office, 601 4th Street NW, Washington DC.

(U//FOUO) The full FD-302a is housed in the sub TS_SCI file of the captioned investigation due to the classification level of the content discussed during the interview.

Investigation on 04/09/2016 at Washington, DC

File # 302-7 Date dictated N/A

By SA

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 06/15/2016

On June 10, 2016[Redacted] of Wilkinson, Walsh, and Eskovitz, 1900 M Street NW, Suite 800, Washington DC 20036, provided Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents [Redacted] and [Redacted] with one (1) Lenovo Yoga 2 Pro with serial number [Redacted] and one (1) Dell Latitude E6330 with serial number [Redacted]

A copy of the property receipt and consent letter provided by Wilkinson, Walsh and Eskovitz are enclosed in an attached 1A.

(U//FOUO) A copy of the photographed notes and an accompanying provided by [Redacted] are attached in a 1A envelope.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription: 7/1/2016

(U//FOUO) On July 1, 2016, [ ] emailed Special Agent (SA) [ ] six (6) copies of emails provided by his client, MONICA HANLEY. The emails contained exchanges between HANLEY and [ ] of Platte River Networks. HANLEY previously agreed to provide the emails at the conclusion of a June 23, 2016 interview with SA [ ] and SA [ ] 302 Serial 87]

(U//FOUO) A copy of the information provided by HANLEY (via [ ]) is attached in a 1A envelope.

7/1/2016, at Washington, D.C.

File # 302 - 99 Date dictated: N/A

By SSA

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
This is a placeholder document for the FD-302a documenting the July 2, 2016, interview of HILLARY CLINTON, by Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents ___________ and ___________ in Washington, DC.

The full FD-302a is housed in the sub TS SCI file of the captioned investigation due to the classification level of the content discussed during the interview.
This is a placeholder document for the FD-302a documenting the May 17, 2016 interview of [Name] by Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents [Name] and [Name] in McLean, VA.

(U//FOUO) The full FD-302a is housed in the sub TS/SCI file of the captioned investigation due to the classification level of the content discussed during the interview.
(U//FOHO) This is a placeholder document for the FD-302a documenting the June 10, 2016, interview of ____________ by Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents ____________ and ____________ in McLean, Virginia.

(U//FOHO) The full FD-302a is housed in the sub TS_SCI file of the captioned investigation due to the classification level of the content discussed during the interview.

Investigation on 3/10/2016 at Washington, DC.

File # 302 Date dictated N/A

By SA

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

HRC-1082
(U//FOUO) This is a placeholder document for the FD-302a documenting the April 25, 2016, interview of [Redacted] by Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agents [Redacted] and [Redacted] in the FBI Washington Field Office.

(U//FOUO) The full FD-302a is housed in the sub TS_SCI file of the captioned investigation due to the classification level of the content discussed during the interview.

Investigation on 3/19/2016 at Washington, DC

File # 302 Date dictated: N/A

By SA

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Total Deleted Page(s) = 80
Page 9 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 10 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 11 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 12 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 13 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 14 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 15 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 16 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 17 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 18 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 24 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 25 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 26 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 27 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 28 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 29 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 30 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 31 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 32 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 41 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 42 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 43 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 44 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 45 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 46 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 73 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 74 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 75 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 76 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 77 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 78 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 79 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 80 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 81 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 82 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 86 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 87 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 88 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 89 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 90 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 91 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 92 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 93 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 94 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 95 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 96 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 97 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 98 ~ Referral/Consult;
Patrick Kennedy

get along - but not happy w/ Bu - authority

End of May - June (2015)

Note on desk - Pat K. called.

called back.

give hand - email you guys classified

said classified regarding

while on phone - said

told what was about - sent email
said no

way takes a lot of work to classify an email

would be put in basement never to be seen
again

EAD AD - 100

John

Did Ken Anderson of bks

but didn’t mention content
File Number: 302

Field Office Acquiring Evidence: WF

Serial # of Originating Document: 3

Date Received: 07/02/2015

From: Justin Gregory Cooper

(Address)

(City and State)

By: [Signature]

To Be Returned: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Receipt Given: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e) Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Federal Taxpayer Information (FTI): ☐ Yes ☐ No

Title: [Signature]

Reference: 302

(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☑ Original notes re interview of Justin Gregory Cooper and document displayed during interview

Secret
- Email received from HRC -
  - BlackBerry from one to another - back-up 2009 upgrade 2011 upgrade - syncs/Mr. Black
  - It had upgraded from Mistick. One Mistick or 2? -> One after -> back-up.
  - HRC Email in Email client. Including attaching client.

- Proposal: 3K+ per new email at BlackBerry at once.
  - B.A. laptops & back-up at fire.

- Server:
  - Worked for 2002 - 11/16, email in foundation. Technically involved.
  - In 2007, thought about a small group having a separate email server. Explored.
  - Contacted with Apple computer to set-up a server. Had 30+ users at
    UAE residence, in (city), June 08. - online - finally for 6 or 7 users.
  - Not robust enough. Problems with blackberries. What other options?
  - HRC also looking to go all BlackBerry or something else. She joined
    apple server. Discussion started with Bujia Paper. Good opportunity
    to upgrade systems. Bujia took full control of setting up BlackBerry
    server.
  - Indonesia server - BlackBerry email server. Very user friendly.
  - There were small issues.
  - Do had trouble, spam filters on RSA. And, we had back-up drives.
  - Bujia changed changeover from Apple to Microsoft.
  - Each of blackberries were syncing with Apple. - config through email.
  - Both servers were stable nothing but email.
  - From regina to Chap in OKC9. Bujia built all re-built transferred
  - current service face (HS) could add user, sending policy,
    change password.
  - At one point - Bujia setting but I could block at 14.
  - Set DTP and I were admin on server.
- Managed镇江 events. Did anything register or paid for.
  - Bill I registered as renewed.

- Work to Network Solutions, US Only, Perfect Privacy (study only)
  - Read chapter 11 on line.

- PS - Praise of Apple held to 2.

- Users - seeing policy - Few users. Normal course of business,
  "Just send an email."
  Provided no official security. 
  If someone sent something - it would forward to Brian. We would know
  around someone is trying to hack it. Quite rare. That's why we put
  IP blocking.

- BFA - Daka fire attack.

- Access of connectivity - refer to Brian "locked down." People could
  not be remote with user name and password.

- Outlook for mail chat on this computer has device eventually.

- Visually recall we had a Microsoft product called Forefront.
  - Never saw an outside security or open source.

- Very little about decommisioning - early 2013 - CW and BP
  discussed about what next. I was pushing it. Outreach to
  different companies - user and flat rate - throw nothing about
  decision. I did talk to PR about turning over user names, accounts.

PR in 5/13 or 8/13 - had access to server at R2 house. 7/13 -
I did inviting to sit to our server. 11/17 - off server.

APPLE - legacy domain - objective.com

MICROSOFT SERVICE -

- HRC
  - HA - (unchanged).com

HRC-1089
- I don't know who physically moved the server.
- AOL - president's office.
- Speculation - PR Ice removal, David Kendall (my downgraded).
- I'm not aware of classified instruction - but I know HRC has directed.

- Back-up - two times done was were requested to google mail.
  No recollection of HRC.

HRC - at HRT

APPLE - built-in back-up

ANS - Back up with server - but (we were else.

- admin user names - [Redacted]
- I'm sure I researched the domain names, Initial email.

- proceeded re for finances.

- Cable Vision - NFL contract + server - multiple.
- [Redacted]
- Personal client, Mr. Fix it.

- David Shapiro - always doing all of it to keep it smooth.
  all up to date. He was a trained IT professional. I am not.
Reference: FD 302, Dated 8/25/2015

Description: Original notes re interview of

FD 597 Receipt for Property, Consent to

Store Computer(s), Business Card
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Receipt for Property

Case ID: ____________________________
On (date) 08/24/2015

Item(s) listed below were:
✓ Collected/Seized
☐ Received From
☐ Returned To
☐ Released To

Katherine M. Turner
(Signature)

Williams & Connolly LLP

Katherine M. Turner
(Signature)

Paul Weiss LLP

725 12th Street, NW

Washington, D.C. 20005

Name: Williams & Connolly LLP

Street Address: 725 12th Street, NW

City: Washington, D.C. 20005

Description of Item(s):

1 x Apple Model A1466 EMC 2925 S/N: C02A67K36940
1 x Apple Model A1466 EMC 2925 S/N: C02A67K36940
1 x Apple Model A1466 EMC 2925 S/N: C02A67K36940
1 x Lenovo Yoga 2 11 Model 20428 S/N: V393615990
1 x Lenovo Type 4236-VQS S/N: 4
1 x Thumb Drive labeled "HC Print 2"
1 x Lexar Thunade 16 GB
1 x Lenovo Type 4236-VQS S/N:
4 x Bankers Boxes of Paper Documents
CONSENT TO STORE COMPUTER(S)

I, Katherine M. Turner, hereby authorize the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) to take custody of and store in a secure space the following computers:


2. Lenovo Yoga 2. 11 AMD 204281 SN: 1B6036 379P1 1. Lenovo yoga 2. 11 AMD 204281 SN: 1B6036 379P1 1. Lenovo yoga 2. 11 AMD 204281 SN: 1B6036 379P1 1. Lenovo yoga 2. 11 AMD 204281 SN: 1B6036 379P1;

which is/are currently located at:

725 12th St, NW, WDC 20005

I affirm that I possess, control, or have access to the computer(s), item(s) and/or equipment described above.

I give consent for the FBI, its agents, or employees to remove these computer(s), item(s) and/or equipment from the above location to facilitate the storage and preservation of the materials contained therein and to store the computer(s), item(s) and/or equipment in an appropriate secure facility. I understand that the FBI will not conduct any searches of the computer(s), item(s), or equipment described above without further consultation with you or obtaining appropriate legal process.

I have been advised of my right to refuse to consent to this storage arrangement, and I give permission for this storage freely and voluntarily and not as the result of any threat or promise of any kind.

[Signature]

Date: 8/25/15

Date: 8/15/15

Signature of Witness

Printed Full Name of Witness

725 12th St, NW, WDC 20005

Location

Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
Attorneys at Law
2001 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20006-1040
Telephone: 202-330-5000
Facsimile: 202-330-5010
Beijing • Hong Kong • London • New York • Tokyo • Toronto • Wilmington
File Number 302

Field Office Acquiring Evidence WFO

Serial # of Originating Document 8

Date Received 09/22/2015

From ITS FE

(Address)

(City and State)

By ITS FE

To Be Returned □ Yes □ No

Receipt Given □ Yes □ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(c)

Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure □ Yes □ No

Federal Taxpayer Information (FTI) □ Yes □ No

Title: [Redacted]

Reference: [Redacted]

Description: □ Original notes re interview of

Original notes from telephone call with

1A7
To Do this Week:

- 50 emails - ask for hash values - 9/21/15
- Timeline - triad review 9/21/15

1:15 pm
- left message on 9/21/15
- left messages on 9/21/15
- 1:19 pm

FBIs

- Do-course on emails or hotline - 280K emails - full set of emails?

Comp Analysis

- Lexar thumb drive from Request
- Put files for Homo cm?

1. Programs used to locate the files?
   - Provide list of hash values for files
   - Provide list of email subject, date, message ID

2. Email database - size limitation? EDB size guess?
   - Coexistence of email database

FTK Perceptive Search - Search through native
Search & Flag emails

"Secret" and "No Form" - 50 docs hit

In metadata of Word docs - Call sheet for Sec of State

- Document generated from a system classified
- Highlights
- Doc given to see going back to dig into
- Work on
- Surf in headings
- Tell AIN class - within doc itself
- Created on a classified system & moved to unclassified

All docs = 50 hash values
by end of week

Moving data to SIEF

HRC-1106
FD-340 (Rev. 4-11-03)

Field Office Acquiring Evidence: WPD

Serial # of Originating Document: 10

Date Received: 9/25/15

From: WILLIAM & CONNOLLY

Address: 725 12TH ST, NW

City and State: WASHINGTON, DC

To Be Returned: No

Receipt Given: Yes

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6 (c)
Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure: No

Federal Taxpayer Information (FTI): No

Title: [Blank]

Reference: [Blank]

Description: Original notes re interview of

RECEIPT FOR DCS PROVIDED BY

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP

HRC-1116
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Receipt for Property

Case ID: ____________________________________________

On (date) Sept 25, 2015 item(s) listed below were:
☐ Collected/Seized
☒ Received From
☐ Returned To
☐ Released To

(Name) WILLIAM J. CONNOLLY LLP

(Street Address) 725 12TH ST, NW

(City) WASHINGTON, DC 20005

Description of item(s):

(1) Envelope of Documents.

Received By: ____________________________

Received From: ____________________________ (Signature)

Printed Name/Title: ____________________________

Printed Name/Title: Katherine M. Turner

HRC-1117
FD-340 (Rev. 4-11)

File Number 362

Field Office Acquiring Evidence WF

Serial # of Originating Document 12

Date Received 9/4/2015

From

(Name of Contributor/Interviewee)

(Address)

(City and State)

By SA

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☐ No

Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☐ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6 (e)

Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☐ No

Federal Taxpayer Information (FTI) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Title

MISHANDLING OF CLASSIFIED

Reference: ____________________________

(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☐ Original notes to interview of

A10

SECRET

HRC-1118
Sales - she has declined - held Health Audit Management.

Jan 2012 - didn't know client
- confused head over to a week doing AIA exam
- want better support
- first good pain
- we pull proposed times
- condition is also due out - hit by a new customer

July 2012 - signed Audit SLA

List of people:
- design
- resolver
- project lead
- help desk

I chose Equinix - didn't do a demo during America Sound - first time we did.

Exist vs. hi migrate is side by side.

is agent on 3rd party - MX Logic - do we want to migrate.

Outside firm did our testing for us.

Don't know migration - would know.

SLA is accurate.

If anything is expected - can fix the problem - NOA - signed.

- 90% of help - project help on-site 10% (physical)

Exchange - Client is about unskilled.

Archive - Back-up - would know. Don't out of ordaining.

I'm not personally - sometimes copied or help desk. Usually

Don't recall.

I interacted mostly with - didn't know if sloped at

IT support.

Just the one email server.

Desk top some

Closed final - never heard of that. Never Record Peterman
Show email - Did call with Amy. Alby Chart.

Only aware of the survey - still in draft mode. Still busy work.

Still a draft.

Has no personal knowledge of this work. Mixture bolt Alby chart.
Very good to know. Thanks.

On Aug 13, 2015, at 6:50 PM wrote:

FYI see email below and attached...

5700 WASHINGTON ST
DENVER, CO 80216
PLATTERIVER.COM

From: Thursday, August 13, 2015 6:40 PM
To:
Subject: RE: question

Yes, they moved her to another server/domain that we don’t control, and forwarded the old address to it.

From: Thursday, August 13, 2015 20:00
To:
Subject: question

Did I hear from and Cheryl that Hillary was not using that email server as of the past 3-6 months?

5700 WASHINGTON ST
DENVER, CO 80216
PLATTERIVER.COM

This email has been scanned for email related threats and delivered safely by Mimecast.
For more information please visit http://www.mimecast.com

<NY2 IBX Site Specification.pdf>
<RFP for Pres v3.docx>
FD-340 (Rev. 4-11-01)

File Number ________ 802

Field Office Acquiring Evidence ________________ WE

Serial # of Originating Document ________________ 13

Date Received ________________________________ 9/10-9/14/2015

From ________________

(Name of Contributor/Interviewee)

______________________________

(Address)

______________________________

(City and State)

By ________________________

(Title)

 mishandling of classified

To Be Returned ________________ No

Receipt Given ________________ Yes

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e)

Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

Federal Taxpayer Information (FTI)

Reference: ________________________________

(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ________________________________

Original notes re interview of
Feb/March 2012 - Picked up high profile client - didn't know who it was.
- Did not involve in technical solution at all.
- No, first led meetings with anyone representing client.
- New released to press - first aware it was a big deal
- Called by counsel - what's going on, where is everything. Don't recall
  - maybe March 2nd/3rd.
  - Got a letter from PA Senate.
  - delivered letter here - FDU - preservation of records.
  - Brought in counsel.
  - Letter to pick-up server. Involved with to turn over.
  - Did not power up server.
  - CSFC did background checks on three employees - Can't remember.
  - Don't know why. - Because they're high profile.
  - Just aware of 100 equipment. Back-up done DATTO.
  - Appliance - data resides in it.
  - Glitch in DATTO - was going to DATTO's cloud.
  - Turned it off - virtual loss.
  - Letter to pay us to stop 3 weeks ago.
  - 60 days for device and cloud.
  - Pay here 60 days from 2 weeks ago.
  - Started pretty early blocks around March 2015 - first press release -
  - Decision made by PR. More saving one in press.
  - Never heard reference to classified.
FD-340 (Rev. 4-11-03)

File Number 302-14

Field Office Acquiring Evidence

Serial # of Originating Document 16

Date Received

From KATHERINE TURNER
(Name of Contributor/Interviewee)

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY
(Address)

725 12TH ST NW, WASHINGTON, DC
(City and State)

By

To Be Returned □ Yes □ No
Receipt Given □ Yes □ No
Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e)
Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure □ Yes □ No
Federal Taxpayer Information (FTI) □ Yes □ No
Title:

Reference: (Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: □ Original notes re interview of

PROPERTY RECEIPT FOR HITACHI DRIVE PROVIDED TO WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY.
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Receipt for Property

Case ID: ____________________________

On (date) 10-6-2015 item(s) listed below were:
☐ Collected/Seized
☐ Received From
☐ Returned To
☒ Released To

(Name) Katherine Turner

(Street Address) 725 12th St NW

(City) Washington DC

Description of Item(s): 1 TB Hitachi drive, barcode OA38028BA312006C97
LAB #: 1508016250

Received By: Katherine Turner
(Signature) ________________

Printed Name/Title: ____________________________

Received From: ____________________________
(Signature) ________________

Printed Name/Title: ____________________________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Office Acquiring Evidence</th>
<th>WFO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serial # of Originating Document</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Received</td>
<td>10-3-2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From</td>
<td>Katherine Turner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Name of Contributor/interviewee)</td>
<td>725 12th Street NW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Address)</td>
<td>Washington DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(City and State)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Be Returned</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt Given</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e) Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Taxpayer Information (FTI)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference:</td>
<td>Items received from K. Turner @ Equinox on 12/3/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Communication Excluding Material)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Original notes re interview of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HRC-1132
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Receipt for Property

Case ID: ____________________________________________

On (date) 10-3-2015 item(s) listed below were:
☐ Collected/Seized
☒ Received From
☐ Returned To
☐ Released To

(Name) Katherine Turner

(Street Address) 275 Hartz Way

(City) Secaucus, NJ

Description of item(s): Dell Poweredge 1950 (Service Tag 5Y5XWBI), Express Service Code 2G98372429) physical BlacBer
Enterprise Server (BES): Seagate Desktop External Hard Drive, 1000 GB, s/n 2G1H162 2UH CA/ Power Supply/USB cable:
Supermicro ZU Server, Model 52000, Serial Number 002590 ADEDEBE, Invoice 482547 Datto Server: Approx. 17B
of data from the PRN server, as defined in the 10-3-2015 letter from [ ] to David E. Kendall.

10-3-2015

Received By: [Signature]

Received From: [Signature] Katherine M. Turner

Printed Name/Title: [ ]

Printed Name/Title: Partner, Williams & Connolly LLP

HRC-1133
File Number: J02

Field Office Acquiring Evidence: WF

Serial # of Originating Document: 18

Date Received: 10/08/2015

From: Katherine Turner

275 Furtw Way

Secaucus, NJ 07094

By: SA

To Be Returned: ☑ Yes ☐ No

Receipt Given: ☑ Yes ☐ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6 (e)

Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure: ☑ Yes ☐ No

Federal Taxpayer Information (FTI): ☑ Yes ☐ No

Title: 

Reference: J02

Description: ☐ Original notes re interview of items received from K. Turner at FQ146 on 10/18/15 documented on FO 597.

HRC-1134
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Receipt for Property

Case ID: 

On (date) 10/08/2015 item(s) listed below were:

- Collected/Seized
- Received From
- Returned To
- Released To

(Name) Katherine Turner

(Street Address) 275 Hartz Way

(City) Secaucus, New Jersey

Description of Item(s):
- One (1) Dell PowerEdge 620 Server, Service Tag 6X1WFX1, Service Code 36857327509, Mfg Date 20130608
- One (1) Cisco NAS, Model NAS324, S/N MAC

Received By: [Signature] 10/08/15

Received From: Katherine M. Turner

Printed Name/Title: Williams & Connolly LLP Partner

HRC-1135
Field Office Acquiring Evidence: WF
Serial # of Originating Document: 168
Date Received: 10/18/2015
From (Name of Contributor/Interviewee):
By [signature]
To Be Returned: ☑ No
Receipt Given: ☑ No
Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6 (c) Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure: ☑ No
Federal Taxpayer Information (FTI): ☑ No
Title:

Reference: 302
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☑ Original notes re interview of FO-597

HRC-1136
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Receipt for Property Received/Returned/Released/Seized

File # ___________________________________________________________________

On (date) __________________________________________________________________

item(s) listed below were:
☐ Received From
☐ Returned To
☒ Released To
☐ Seized

b6
b7c

(Street Address) _____________________________________________________________________________

(City) ______________________________________________________________________________________

Description of Item(s): One (2) DVD labeled "Export DEHQ31" with date "10/23/15.

10/28/2015

Received By: ____________________________________________________________________________

(Signature) ______________________________________________________________________________

Received From: __________________________________________________________________________

(Signature) ______________________________________________________________________________

HRC-1137
FD-340 (Rev. 4-11-03)

File Number: 302

Field Office Acquiring Evidence: WFD

Serial # of Originating Document: 15

Date Received: 10-1-2015

From: [Blank]

(Name of Contributing Interviewee):

(Address):

By: [Blank]

To Be Returned: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Receipt Given: ☑ Yes ☐ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e)

Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure:

☐ Yes ☑ No

Federal Taxpayer Information (FTI):

☐ Yes ☑ No

Title: [Blank]

Reference: Receipt from evidence received from

(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☐ Original notes re interview of
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FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Receipt for Property Received/Returned/Released/Seized

File #
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Description of Item(s): Server 882 DATToBackup.com, barcode C847EFC17M7PØ24, PIN #

Received By: HRC-1139

Received From: 06-10-15
- Project Manager
  - Didn't change this one.

- Rahul (w/o Crypto, pick-p) server export to data-center - just leg. In 2012
- Server BD, Rij nae encl server. PI had svvich, firewall.
  - No back-up by knowledge, cut across NAS.
  - asked everything up. Closed it down - took it out.
- Plug it in - simple - limited cards. Pointed to get it on-line.
  - Peer was started recently 2 or 3. Don't recall if equipment was there or if it already set-up. 2 or 3 days.
  - CD existed - ran for my watch.

3/2015 - Too or three times with Chung Mills, talked or communicated with.
  - USB drive that was never plugged in. Client never plugged in.
  - NAS - never used.
  - Client asked is state of gear. We looked at server NAS.
    - USB drive was part of that - put it - maybe on it. Never used.
  - Client was still go find. There was no it, Ren. Just audit.
  - Also wanted to tighten security. Standard protocol - very secure.
  - After new, tightened by PNW - not asked to.

- Initial discussions about security - devices, firewalls.
  - handled devices. Don't recall. Make a handful of tickets.
  - Cloud jacket - no IP attacks but show out more than normal.
    - I still believe more got in. All were alerts - blocking IP, not by law. Not more than normal.

  - Never saw a bad one or phishing email. Not that I recall.

8/2015 - Found on two terminals - see what was on it, removed
  - Major OS software (strains). Not Client requested. Plug in monitor - see what's gone - nothing.
CATTO device was the back-up system. I listened in.

Was not being used.

Don't know why I always tested. He worked remotely. We were only two. He had.

I didn't. I volunteered.

I don't know why it was only us two. We're not experienced.

Never heard them discuss classified information.

Never told me to delete, destroy anything. Just asked to look on the old server and see what was there.

PRN now removed. I never requested to remove or delete.

We don't see what they do. They do whatever they want.
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ISP → Cloud Jacket → Firewall → Switches → Servers

NAT
1980
Their two 1980s BES 2800
- One server out of house - Windows Server
  - Blue Sheet
- Never new is home or equivalent - all
- NAS - no infrastructure on it - brought it along
  - Didn't take switch or firewall. was going to provide, PBN.
- DNS - separate physical server - My help is least important
- We bought new hardware because it was 4-5 years old. 1st own myself from
  Exchange 2007 to Exchange 2010. We were going to add a new server regardless.
  They probably had a UPS, but we didn't bring it.
  - tig had bare front of server - No third party that I know of.
- Provided remote access for during look ahead.
  - Logged in - seen configuration - standard. don't recall - almost
    exclusively for email - not file print.
  - No one else that I know of had remote admin.
  - They had 20/30 users of Office - added 5-6 users after
- They had chat and 1on1. president@chatand1on1.com, objective.com
  - We added [redacted] later on now
- Looks 1/8 at data rate. Once we switched in place activity
  lower VS to Equinox - sets up.
- Changes MX record - change email server to talk to since address
  changed in MX throws out ones own spam.  We added this. No anything
  of MX by email doesn't reside there. We provided new firewall,
  new switches. I think latter part provided UI.
- Also provided new physical server, new exchange server, new
  domain controller for passwords and management virtual machine.
- Both were running at the same time. old server for a week or so

HRC-1145
- We also had ORTTO - multiple daily back ups of all servers.
- O&M did a great ad added function then went root. 60 days.
- Client didn't want virtual - ORTTO and mistake.
- They had standard set up - we did standard set up. We did 2 servers.
- Put 2 switches for redundancy in case fail - we didn't want to pay or drive out.
- Cloud jacket sits in between ISP and firewall for malicious traffic. Peer credits fix 1. Automatic block lists. Can also pre-machine to block.
- We bought NAS disk plugged it in, didn't look like old box or it just install files. We bought disk plugged in - not utilized.
- RDI rewrote lot on their hardware for a week or two.
- Still in rack with lights off.
- Once we migrated - old email server was on, we decided to keep it on for a few months - not processing email.
- People had phones, outlook signed to server.
- Migration end of June - decided to cheat - less migrated on email down the for transfer. Day half day. Opened up old server for a week or two. Then moved mail boxes.
- Two servers worked together in to see exchange while migration over a couple of days. Old server needs know - servers agree copies are identical.
- Everything was moved from old server to new. Can't do a picture.
- Every thing goes.
- Old data was old box that needed to be repaired.
- Should ORTTO email - division level failure. ORTTO is for that.
- always been 60 - 1% think is mirroring. Not involved with back ups.
- Mailbox moved to new server. Most of a sync. Once servers agree -
  - Don't remove. Old copied through December 2012 for date.
- Email shut down June/July 2012 due to sync.
- HRC archive mailbox - They had a password. HAD old email on server.
  - They didn't want to - I exported email to server. Email was 2009 through 2011. 5 sub-folders with a year. Communicator was with a Marine.
  - All stored in folders. Could be multiple accounts. They said it was
    - Hillary's email. Separate mailbox. Has its own login. Asked us to
      give Hillary access at one or two accounts. Don't remember.
  - All traffic through HIP - mobile, outlook, Browse.
- HRC archive is a container with email - don't need to be tied to account.
  - Try a local address - not receiving a storage folder.
- 7/14 - Milk - they wanted email exported. Email going to be encrypted PDFs.
  - Didn't happen.
  - 9/14 - I exported her email - zipped in encrypted archive - transferred to
    - Cheryl and Heather. SFIT site to transfer file - NT also
      had a password. SFIT site on server. Remote control session with
      both of them - transferred to RAM. Digitally a mail sent to "Ram" and
      "gov. They had saved entire mailbox. 2 sessions. Remote.
- Not HRC archive - all her email - her mailbox - not matter
  - What account is it charged. They gave me an end date of 1/23 2013.
  - Stated they continued 7/5 and saw 1/2 date.
- 12/2013 - Move to hrcoffice.com - Move her id there to server
  - We don't manage. In contact with
    - Forwarded email to HRC office.com. Someone behind explanation. Don't know who
      managed (or) even copy on just forwarded our server.
One session with Hare to sign PBC server to new
Not aware of date on new server. I wouldn’t know any info about it.
Try can bring their email to new server in a variety of ways, but I’m not aware of anything on the new server. They can have device push it to server.

3/15 - Try asked to make sure I saw an old MS server at DAS.
HRC archive - connection from MacBook - no additional data in MacBook.
60 day on BATH server snapshot - prize.

6/21 - look setting - do not select on HelloBox. 
end user can do whatever they want.

8/26 - related to BATH how did it happen?

Uninstall exchange
- click on uninstall - does checks to ensure no other live system. Ensures no active profiles etc.

PRN never took steps, nor were reported, from the server itself. I provide first set up.

EDB file?- No. File represents entirely.

1IP addresses used - internet service Eg: nix - no control. Give you public IP.

- try gave static IP to same - firewall access if not.

USER ACCOUNT sheet
- a number of user additions/deletions. Everyone names but not
  give them to.

CES still - within last couple months

Devices - not sure how sheet populated
- it’s secure access given set up without us. As long as they have science
- we get involved with older style BlackBerry - we don’t buy or provide.

- no security policy to connect to on server.
Back-ups
- DATTO - 60 Day
- Nothing with exchange server
- NAS - had random files, not backup data. Plugged in, not rock solid

3rd Party
- Equinix - Provider, internet or not contracted ISP
- Interop - just facilitated relationship
- Concert Racks - Concert out at home in Charp
- LTE Civic - NP at Equinix

Another
- Windstream - Not with CESC
- Allcon - phone system
- Sympix

AV6 - Manage Helpdesk - level platforms - bought or bought by AV6.
MacRack - MX Logic / Intel - No backup - No backup. Filter, SPAM
SRC MAP - Cloud, jacket distributes
Techno/evolve - Never used

Intranet Access Trend autowire
Tool - back-up software - Never used (just DATTO)

ATI/Logic - advanced technology, new

AT - Never used

TM - anti-virus solution

- My satanic - select mailboxes - right click move - server talk - not daily in pts.
- Just mailboxes - no log files moved
CESC - FAVN - virtual

CESC - PAN2 - not know, management box we started to set up, doesn't exist.

EXCH01 - virtual

OCS1 - virtual

OATTO - box

MV server - Help desk - software on Mac

NAS - just one - two network addresses

F1D - firewall

F1A - firewall

Chalk-BD -

CESC

- File share - on directed documentation to not be accessible to anyone

- VPN set up - lived in house - probably still on server

CESC work desk - don't know.

Unclear full reliability - No.

- IBES - running - virtualized - still people on old blackberries.

- No discussion of classified data or federal retention of records.

- IPS: hacking network - from cloud jacket - say attempt to gain access could've been in place - but nothing out of the ordinary. Do don't have to do anything.

Cloud jacket never directed advice on servers. Just notification.

- Tightened firewall rules - request from client, standard - around the time of press release.

- Knew someone - fail secure to personal set of preferences, to make sure it would come through.
- Request old back-ups to comply with 60 day day. Don't know when.

- Registry SQL - Set up, registered, deny same credentials for Network Solutions except 3 domains are registered.

- Back-up - blue sheet - virtual drive? You can choose size, certain amount of disk space - off black box server.

- NAS - saving function at some point (issues) - agreed to make sure it could still boot. We could ask Doba to throw out all no decision to.

- Make sure account was fully powered for home page - test email.

- Turned server on last day - opted to ensure no back-up code on NAS or server.
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HRC-1153
We work with a girl that was brought to our attention. She didn't know who the target of a public official was. Found 3 in spring 2013.

We know who we became. Feb 7.

Technical team to deliver.

They don't have to engage - my level email database.

We need back-up support and user user log in.

My general role. My role is not involved. Get internal director of business don't get involved technically. Pulls in with customer.

March - They said to know technically what my job because my shift know understood. Answered, I was free to.

June - Potentially prior to a house. Less. Power or network.

Since we told you - don't think so.

Backup to device in case - safeguard servers. 60 days. See backup solution for their agency. Other clients. Not from discussions. Don't did by request something specific.

No classified or federal records involve.

We limited what people. Someday we did because we didn't want people know our door. Expensive.

Chat need asked for admin rights or physical presence.

No specific knowledge of gender details or report for your agency.

DAD - done. Not backup in the cage - pursuant to 60 days.

Send control when we sent signed it.

I still know about DES. Its a mechanism.

Still a chat - remote service. Still call for support.

 onsite for 3 times... remote.
SECRET
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Reference: ____________

Communication Enclosing Material

Description: □ Original notes re-interview of MC
1) Copy of email dated 11/4/2015 regarding ___
2) CD containing spreadsheet with ___

SECRET

HRC-1165
The attached spreadsheet (2 tabs) contains _______ that we want the ________ analyst to start querying. This is just an initial collection from the data sets we’ve reviewed so far. As we identify new _______ we can provide additional data to query. Ideally, assuming this would be part of their normal work process, we’d like the analyst to produce a report to document the results of their searches.

We would also like to have the data run through _______ if you think it may produce different/additional results (I think _______ mentioned this in the last meeting), so we should discuss who would handle this.

_______ we’d also like to have IAU provide input from your analysis for _______ that should be run in _______. If possible, we’d like to send this data to the ________ analyst on a “per media” basis. We’ll need to figure out who would handle this (i.e., should this all feed through CYD so there’s one point of contact?).

Let’s discuss the items above during today’s 2pm call so we can determine how to coordinate the efforts.

Thanks,
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Date 11/19/15 10:30 am

- Local we don't ship with DoD password. Need to make sure not logged.
- Can help with that.
- PR pairing for awhile - # of field devices. Plotted out the model. Reached out for model. Established relationship.
- We don't purposely involve ourselves from clients.
- My 7007 order. Local signed up for. PDD requested private cloud option. M四川. Private cloud the one could kill locally stage.
  Private cloud is stage and local.
  Signed up for private - never got node - how it ended up in public.
- Options is absence of private node - to OATT. Cloud. Since been changed.
- In 2012, not standard option - PSS pair to OATT cloud.
  Let the PDD want to private cloud option - no node.
- Don't have default backup 2013. PRD set a la-Ja-
  Local - can speak to - device itself. This stage in device.
  Effort - log of is retention schedule. Retain for 60-90.
  Not deleted - be referenced.
- Website - stage limits - what you ordered.

[Diagram: OATT]

SP10 2000 - initial set-up to not have encrypted. Next round is Dec 2013.
- 8/18/2015 - shipped encrypted OATT to RSM.
  Pipe is encrypted SFTP. RSM can't communicate with each other.
- Command at control - is encrypted.
1867 - Screen shot verification problem. Long and video for verification.

1901 - Virtualization problem, charged driver bounces at bootup, accepted it.

- Failures very common - Normal amount.

- No failures 2012/2013 - will confirm. Think OS.

- Screenshot showing charged RAM usage.

5.

- Skipping backup, packet is created.

Retention - packets removed by virtual client for policy. AFW.
- Signed off.
- Some in tech sent retention error. Data is deleted.
- Local device - to delete Parker portal.
- Accepted view.

- Machine connectivity lost both local host unreachable.
- CRM relay was handling this connection.
- Not possible to delete remote or local.
- Delete cloud, delete local. Not sure by were that in March.

- If you go from 60 to 30 you my recall phone. Actual recall for
  agreed to keep policy.
- Offset - partially encrypted so not remotely could have to have every password.
  - physically you'd be able to do it if you got access.
  - full encryption is used because of size and optimization.
  - Ox is faster if you go that way.

- Access to cloud & local. [ ] delete data.

- March 23, 2016 - admin password is odd.

- PRN had one log-in - didn't know who, exactly.

- SIRS 2000 - stopped don't know. 2 SIRS 2000.

- Hotel & Pennsylvania data storage. Multiple levels under ground.
  - Diameters. Security, cages are locked.
  - Multiple doors & gate to a node. Very segmented by device so
  paired with. Only device able to write to device.
  - 76 drives - 2 pools - unified data store.

- Cloud frequently scanned from all over the world. Have no
  knowledge of deep penetration. Best place to look for is system logs.

- No federal records discussion. No classified discussion.

- No relation of 70 60-90 percent data.

- Invoices to PRN.

9/13 - 9/21/6 - After maze would work. De virtualize - take picture of
  screen and send to parent. Most likely - boot is fine -
  automatic first boot failed. Veritexa failure.

- All product not going to virtualization - requires testing.
  That number goes down by 1 every month.

9/26/6 - Agent on server had problem with incremental back-up
  it was found with bare/total back-up.
Email from Shelle - Private -

- Explain to be new rule.
- Appears to be slightly to a shock. "You should have no shock."
- Pay by direct deposit.

- Requests to new device exceptions since 2000. After upper involvement
  No invoice - IR - DON'T ship.
  - DON'T thrust if you don't. Please send exception / caution

- Though

- DOS - local doesn't ship with DOS
  - don't use get avoid.
  - log-in prompt - not misspeak.
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HRC-1321
Gradual file out of PRN took over
Client would occasionally reach out and ask for help.

I believe CloudTicket type device was part of initial design; that's why pushed for implementation
Utmost security and reliability

part of selecting initial hardware, not back on invoicing, basic invoicing, technical

Migration not involved in weeds, but knew enough to know it was being done right.

—security awareness — not brought back in away intrusions or compromises

Know client big B6 wer... deciding if move to iPhone.

"Good" application; had conversations about international blocking, but client travels internationally

Might have had temp backup, not sure

HRC office move [ ] assisted [ ] helped.

doesn't recall assisting w/moving to new domain or server

not aware of laptop backups

no discussion of federal records or classified

Valn. Threat Assessment email - Depth Security - Describing security to a different shared client. (Not CESC). Unaware if test done on CESC (not at [ ] direction)

Migration email to HRCoffice.com - not done/used by 3D training an issue.
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