White Authenticity = White Voice + White-Centricity

Bo Sears

Resisting Defamation believes that, without mastering our white voice and engaging it openly and frequently on white-centric issues, we lose our authenticity as members of a demographic group in hyper-tribalized America. White authenticity requires that we rediscover ourselves notwithstanding all the deception and propaganda heaped on our heads for the last 60 years, and then that we exhibit clarity in how we communicate. White authenticity requires that we discuss ourselves as a demographic group, and the Other as an individual.

The White Voice

The white voice starts sentences openly or silently, “As a white American…” or “As a member of the diverse white European American community . . .” Resisting Defamation has found no barrier to the white voice when exercised with white-centric issues, and when it focuses on us as a demographic group and on the Other as an individual.

White-Centricity

It’s not enough to have just a white voice. What do you say with that voice that is attractive to unawakened diverse white Americans? And will you stay focused like a laser on our welfare? Don’t forget that we are the only ones who care about us. It’s a cold world out there, and there is no one in other demographic groups who will send vaccines or medicine or food to us in the event of social calamity.

In general, when we are speaking in a white-centric way, we discuss individuals from other demographic groups on the one hand, and the diverse white European Americans as a demographic group on the other hand.

It’s all about where your heart and mind are. If your goal is the well-being, safety, and health of the diverse white European Americans, our prime target will be to protect ourselves and our children from the insanity and viciousness of the Other which is very different from concentrating on the Other’s negative aspects as a group.

It’s easy to tell whether you are white-centric or not. If you discuss white American issues all the time, you are exhibiting your white-centricity. If you focus on white well-being in your politics, you are exhibiting your white-centricity. You are white-centric if you ask, “Is it good for the diverse white people?”
NOTE: Focusing on white well-being in your politics does not mean at this juncture of our history automatically voting for the white candidate. We are plagued with anti-white bigots, scalawags, and carpet-baggers.

We Are The Center Of Our Discourse

A white-centric issue, we have learned, is an issue that we discuss solely as it affects the diverse white American peoples as a demographic group. This is a toughie for many white activists because they see the only problems as those arising from blacks, Latinos, Jews, and Asians as whole demographics. It behooves us to flip the issue so that it is expressed about us as a demographic group, and the Others as individuals.

A low level example might be to consider how to address the Jewish label of "goyim" that some Jews have affixed to us. Should our public remarks be directed against Jews for this supremacism act? Or should our public remarks be directed at reinforcing our group’s unconditional right to name ourselves? The first idea would be expressed thusly, “Jews display their claim to supremacy by calling us goyim,” while the second idea could be expressed, “The diverse white American peoples explicitly reject ‘goy’ as a name for us on the grounds that it is based on a supremacy claim, that it smothers our diversity, and that it denies our right to name ourselves.” The second way is vastly more helpful than the first way.

Personalize The Target

The key to understanding white-centricity is to understand that all our remarks are about us as a group, and any remarks about the Other are about an individual. Saul Alinsky would agree — “pick the target, freeze it, personalize it, and polarize it.” You saw it done recently to Rush Limbaugh very adroitly.

Naming = Defaming

We began by opposing defamation, and then learned that it was also a way to educate other white Americans to stop hiding behind disordered categories of discourse, and to speak in their white voice.

In this regard, remember if they’re naming you, they’re defaming you. That sums up Resisting Defamation’s attitude toward our being named, labeled, described, and defined by anyone besides us. It is defamation because it is an expression of supremacy on that person’s part, and because it always smothers our diversity, nationality, continental origin, and national origins. Any one of those acts justifies our intense and hostile examination of the malformed mind of the person who is naming, labeling, describing, and defining us.

More White-Centric Issues

Another white-centric example is the over-representation of young white children in three distinct areas of public health — binge drinking, tobacco smoking, and meth use. There is nothing like openly denouncing a mayor and city council for neglecting to assist white parents’ efforts to rescue white kids who have gone off the rails. And why not organize a “100 White Men” association to help these kids?

Another white-centric example is text books. Today’s text books are sinkholes of anti-white rhetoric, and white parents are totally at liberty to denounce these texts
in a white-centric way, thus educating other white parents about how to talk in public in a white-centric way.

There are hundreds of other white-centric issues for us to exercise our intellectual and political muscles on. The number one concern for every use of the white voice has to be, will it attract other diverse white Americans to our causes?
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6 Comments

Jack Kavanagh
Posted October 20, 2009 at 1:04 pm | Permalink

I thank Bo and Strike for their replies, which were remarkably civil- especially when contrasted with my own remarks.

My combative stance on the issue may best be understood if we first consider the magician’s technique of misdirection. He draws the audience’s attention to what is happening over there to distract it from what he is doing over here. A Google search will turn up many concrete examples (“Nothing up my sleeve…” or “My lovely assistant will now…” and so on).

How does this apply to what I will call “our cause”? I’ll answer by giving an example. At our last department meeting, the subject of smoking came up and, for the first time I can recall, my colleagues showed real passion about something other than team sports. There were self-congratulatory tales of how they had quit smoking, of how smoking was the greatest public-health menace facing the world today, of the contempt they felt for smokers – this in spite of the fact that there were several smokers, including me, at the meeting. As I said, real passion – over what is at worst a minor vice.

That’s where the misdirection comes in: get the public in a frothing frenzy over smoking and they’ll be oblivious to the real threats facing us today. And indeed they are oblivious.

I think we’re on the same team, but am I welcome here? I am as concerned (too mild a word) about the issues discussed on this site as any of you are, but do I, with a cigarette or pipe in my mouth, have a place here? I know what Steele, Pierce, and Duke think of me; I know the mainstream has made me an outcast. Am I a leper here too? If I am, so be it; I’m not afraid to go it alone, but I don’t have to. I’ve got millennia of Westerners, centuries of real Americans, my friends, and my family to keep me company.

Strike
Posted October 19, 2009 at 8:11 pm | Permalink

Sure that smoking, per se, is not the point. The point is that white America is being toyed with like a cat toys with a mouse by the Jews. It is also being overrun by a torrent of immigrants who could care less about our values and authentic culture. The point is to assert ourselves, stand openly against ridiculous stereotypes while at the same time laying tracks and driving nails toward our victory.

Too bad we have to re-win what we already fought hard to win. We must have solace though that we, out of all the nations in the world, are the only ones who have won a
resounding, enlightened victory at all. The problem is we find that the society has become too immoral and abnormal, thus losing all savor out of the endeavor. I am very confident in my people should we have to split the country and find out how things turn out international competition thereafter.

Jack Kavanagh
Posted October 18, 2009 at 7:20 pm | Permalink
What did I miss here:

“Another white-centric example is the over-representation of young white children in three distinct areas of public health — binge drinking, tobacco smoking, and meth use. There is nothing like openly denouncing a mayor and city council for neglecting to assist white parents’ efforts to rescue white kids who have gone off the rails. And why not organize a “100 White Men” association to help these kids?”

Maybe you’d better break it down for me. Are you saying we should get local governments even more heavily involved in the war against smoking than they already are? I’d say smoking is about number 638 on the list of problems affecting the youth of America. As for me, I’m going to have a relaxing smoke. I think we’re probably on the same team, but I’ll have to give it some more thought.

Bo
Posted October 18, 2009 at 12:21 pm | Permalink
Jack, our recognition of specific areas that afflict young white children more than they afflict Other’s children is not the same as an equation of tobacco smoking with binge drinking and meth use. Kindly read it again.

Jack Kavanagh
Posted October 17, 2009 at 5:23 pm | Permalink
It’s hard to take seriously a man who equates tobacco smoking, a minor vice, with binge drinking and meth use. The national obsession with smoking consumes the limited energy – not to mention the limited intellect and attention span – of the average Joe or Josephine. It’s notable that up until 20 or 30 years ago many if not most grown-ups (remember them?) smoked, and for the vast majority of that tobacco-consuming time we were strong and getting stronger; we knew who we were, took pride in our history, and looked to the future with confidence. Now we have the anti-smoking frenzy while our civilization collapses around us. Don’t look for revolutionary changes from the overgrown children of these Nancified States of America.

RF
Posted October 17, 2009 at 6:08 am | Permalink
Bo Sears, if all your writings are based on real work, and the results resemble how you describe them, then YOU are definitely a White Leader. I wish you health, strength and patience to continue your Work.